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The « Joint Meeting » and TDG regulations

Develops the common part of the regulations for the transport of dangerous goods by the 3 Land modes. (RID ADR ADN)

- The regulations are binding for:
  - Operators (carrier, consignor, loader, consignee...)
    
    *an operator must follow the requirements when carrying or preparing for carriage*
  
  - Authorities
    
    *Authorities may not deny conforming transports (international agreements)*

- All 3 modes agreements cover about 48 contracting parties including all EU members.

- The regulations are harmonized with worldwide conventions concerning maritime (IMDG) and air transport (ICAO TI)
Consequences for ITS applications and important things to remember

For ITS Applications to be used wider than in « in house systems » the conditions need to be defined in the DG regulations.

The regulations already provide a complete frame concerning the content of required information. (that work doesn’t need to be done again)

The regulations already mention ITS as possibilities:

- Chap 1.10 (security) option for tracking and tracing of HCDG
- Chap 5.4 documentation possible use of EDI provided equivalence with paper as regards legal value (depends on acceptance by Competent Authority)

The regulations are amended on basis of a 2 years periodicity (next version 2017 is already decided)
The « telematic » WG

Initial proposal from the European Commission- DG MOVE (doc ECE/TRANS/WP.15/AC.1/2007/17)

Terms of reference (see doc ECE/TRANS/WP.15/AC.1/108/Add.3 on www.unece.org):

1. Consider what information provided by telematics enhances the safety and security of the transport of dangerous goods and facilitates such transport. In particular, consider who might benefit from the provision of such information and in what way, having regard, inter alia, to:
   - consignors, transport operators, emergency responders, enforcers, regulators;
2. Consider necessary parameters for telematics systems, and examine if existing systems meet these parameters and what further developments might be necessary;
3. Consider the cost/benefit analysis of utilising telematics for the purposes identified above;
4. Consider what procedures/responsibilities might be necessary to monitor the information captured by telematics and how access to data should be controlled; and
5. Consider interfaces and synergy with other systems.
The « telematic » WG

The TOR are complemented by 15 working items inter alia:

15. Draw up a proposal for the amendments to ADR/RID/ADN that will be required by the telematics facilities decided upon;

16. Draw up a summary description of necessary standards to complement the regulations.

The WG is composed of TDG experts and ITS experts from different participating countries.

The mandate has no time limit given the complexity of the issue.
**TDG EXPERT PART**

« who does what » in TDG

- Summarises all information related to TDG:
  - A) in the transport document
  - B) other information (certificates, placardings...)
  - C) possible new requirements made possible by telematics (anomaly alerts ...)
- Summarizes some « use case » (who needs it what for...)

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>INFORMATION</th>
<th>WHO IS IT FOR?</th>
<th>WHAT IS IT FOR?</th>
<th>WHEN IS IT NEEDED?</th>
<th>HOW IS IT PROVIDED?</th>
<th>AVAILABILITY</th>
<th>USE OF TELEMATICS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>UN number 5.4.1.1.1(a) [5.2.1 + 5.3.2]</td>
<td>X X X X X</td>
<td>Identify DG</td>
<td>Initial incident, initial enforcement, initial security</td>
<td>Transport document [package markings, plates]</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Proper Shipping Name 5.4.1.1.1(b) [5.2.1.5, 5.2.1.6, 5.2.1.7]</td>
<td>X X X X X X X X X</td>
<td>Identify DG</td>
<td>Later in incident, clean-up, later enforcement</td>
<td>Transport document [package markings Class 1 &amp; 7, sometimes Class 2]</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
ITS EXPERTS PART
« Architecture »

- Definition of a DATA model for all the DATA in the spreadsheet

- The data model has been completed with the modelling of the position in line with the results from SCUTUM

- The minimum required to allow accessibility to relevant data for both operators and public authorities is to define an « architecture » making connections possible between different systems in place.

- A concept of such architecture has been approved by the RID/ADR/ADN Joint meeting as an appropriate way to follow for the use of ITS in TDG
ARCHITECTURE basic points

- No regulations for authorities or emergency responders: *Their internal behaviour and how they make use of the system is entirely up to them*
- Existing public key infrastructure would be used
- Internet backbone
- Two level « trusted party » interface:
  - **TP1**
    - Provided by an official organisation
    - Provides services for Access control
    - Management of trusted certification bodies
    - Management of black lists Management of roles and rights
    - Registration of certificates
    - Stores service end-points, vehicle IDs and related attributes for each DG transport
  - **TP2**
    - May be provided by an company in house system or a service provider
    - Stores transport related DG information (transport documents, certificates, dynamic data) and metadata (e.g. vehicle ID) for the time of transport
Telematics system high-level architecture

- Trusted Party 1 Management Server
- Trusted Party 2 Content Server
- Carrier
- Transport [OBU, if available]
- Authorities
- Command & Control Centre (e.g. Emergency Responder)
- Casual observer
Advantages of the architecture

- Simple and practical: it is related to the way transport documentation is elaborated now
- It may be implemented quickly: Two immediate and consensual uses: electronic documentation and emergency response
- It may be done without major changes in existing systems already used (interface aspect)
- Flexibility to allow evolution: other use have been mentioned such as statistics or traffic management...
Other presentations in the Workshop

- The work started some years ago it is therefore useful to have some information on recent evolutions concerning ITS in the view of the UNECE and the EU

- Information on other related projects

- GEOTRANS MD is a pilot project that aims at testing the architecture
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