## Proposal for Corrigendum to Regulation No. 48

Submitted by the Chair of the task force on conspicuity marking of the Working Party on Lighting and Light-Signalling. The text reproduced below was prepared by the experts of the task force on "Conspicuity Marking" of the Working Party on Lighting and LightSignalling (GRE), by discussing the question on marking of the cab of a motor vehicle, as agreed during the sixty-sixth session of GRE (see ECE/TRANS/WP.29/GRE/66 para. 7). The modifications to the existing text of the Regulation are marked in bold for new or strikethrough for deleted characters.

## I. Proposal

Delete Paragraph 6.21.4.1.3.,:
6.21.4.1.3. However, if the manufacture can prove to the satisfaction of the authority responsible for type approval that it is impossible to achieve the value referred to in paragraph 6. 21.4.1.2. above, the cumulative length may be reduced to 60 per cent or, if this is not possible in case of especially difficult vehicle designs or applications, to at least 40 per cent and shall be indicated in the communication document and test report $16 /$.

Paragraph 6.21.4.2., amend to read:

### 6.21.4.2. Length

6.21.4.2.1. The conspicuity marking shall be as close as practicable to the ends of the vehicle and reach to within 600 mm of each end of the vehicle (or cab in the ease of tractor units for semi trailers).
6.21.4.2.1.1. for motor vehicles, each end of the vehicle, or in the case of tractors for semitrailers each end of the cab;
however, an alternative marking mode within 2400 mm of the front end part (cab) of the motor vehicle is allowed where a series of retroreflectors of Class IV of Regulation No. 3 or Class C of Regulation No. 104 are mounted followed by the required conspicuity marking as follows:

- Gonspicuity marking reaching to within [1800] mm of the front end
- Retro-reflector size minimum $25 \mathbf{c m}^{2}$
- One retro-reflector mounted not more than $\mathbf{6 0 0} \mathbf{m m}$ from the front of the vehicle
- Additional retro-reflectors spaced not more than 600 mm apart
- The distance between the last retro-reflector and the start of the conspicuity marking shall not exceed 600 mm
6.21.4.2.1.2. for trailers, each end of the vehicle (excluding the drawbar).
6.21.4.2.2. The cumulative horizontal length of the conspicuity marking elements, as mounted on the vehicle, excluding any horizontal overlap of individual elements, shall equate to at least $\mathbf{7 0}$ per cent of:

Paragraph 6.21.4.2.2.1.., amend to read:
6.21.4.2.2.1. for motor vehicles, length of vehicle excluding the cab, or in the case of tractors for semi-trailers, if fitted, the length of the cab; however, when using the alternative marking mode per 6.21.4.2.1.1., the distance beginning within 2400 mm of the front of vehicle to its rear end.


Trailer


Overall length excluding the drawbar
A = "alternative marked front", is the distance between the foremost conspicuity marking and the front end of the vehicle (in case of trailers excluding the drawbar). The maximum value of $\mathbf{A}$ is $\mathbf{2 4 0 0} \mathrm{mm}$ (see paragraph 6.21.4.2.1.1.).
6.21.4.2.3. However, if the manufacture can prove to the satisfaction of the authority responsible for type approval that it is impossible to achieve the value referred to in paragraph 6.21.4.2.2. above, the cumulative length may be
reduced to 60 per cent or, if this is not possible in case of especially difficult
vehicle designs or applications, to at least 40 per cent and shall be indicated
in the communication document and test report $16 /$.

## II. Justification

1. In GRE 66 two documents were presented, these are the documents ECE/TRANS/WP.29/GRE/2011/62 by the expert from Germany together with the document ECE/TRANS/WP.29/GRE/2011/53 by the experts from the United Kingdom and CLEPA, both on the conspicuity marking of commercial vehicles.
2. GRE could not reach a final decision on these proposals and agreed to reconsider this issue at its next session on the basis of a joint revised proposal by the experts from Germany, United Kingdom, CLEPA and all interested experts.
3. A meeting took place in London at the 28 November 2011 followed by exchange of opinions based on e-mail conversation. It was not possible to find an unanimous agreement of all participants on a common text in this meeting.
Nevertheless, during the meeting of GRE 67 a compromise was found
4. Therefore the chairman of this group has prepared a new text as a possible compromise, taking in account acceptable proposals from the different participants from governments, CLEPA and OICA.
This proposal try to solve the contradiction between the independent requirement, that to the side, the conspicuity marking has to start at least 600 mm from the front and the statement of the exclusion of the cab.
5. This proposal offers:
(a) A change of the requirement from $80 \%$ to $70 \%$ for the marking over the cumulative horizontal length;
(b) An alternative minimum marking of the cab by retro-reflectors instead of conspicuity marking material with an "offset" of 2400 mm and a maximum distance of 600 mm between these alternative retro reflecting devices..
6. The Paragraphs 6.21.4.1.3. and 6.21.4.2.3. has been deleted because the transitional provisions have been end last year and therefore this paragraph is not any more valid. Some values are still in square brackets, because the missing agreement and should be decided, if possible, by GRE.
