



Economic and Social Council

Distr.: General
13 January 2012

Original: English

Economic Commission for Europe

Inland Transport Committee

World Forum for Harmonization of Vehicle Regulations

Working Party on Lighting and Light-Signalling

Sixty-seventh session

Geneva, 26–29 March 2012

Item 8 of the provisional agenda

UN Regulation No. 19 (Front fog lamps)

Proposal for Supplement 4 to the 04 Series of amendments

Submitted by the expert from the Working Party "Brussels 1952"*

The text reproduced below was prepared by the expert from the Working Party "Brussels 1952" (GTB) to remove an ambiguity in the provisions concerning determination of the Conformity of Production. The modifications to the existing text of the Regulation are marked in bold for new or strikethrough for deleted characters.

* In accordance with the programme of work of the Inland Transport Committee for 2010–2014 (ECE/TRANS/208, para. 106, ECE/TRANS/2010/8, programme activity 02.4), the World Forum will develop, harmonize and update Regulations in order to enhance the performance of vehicles. The present document is submitted in conformity with that mandate.

I. Proposal

Annex 2, paragraph 2.3., amend to read:

"2.3. For the periodic records, the photometric measurements for verification of conformity shall at least yield data for the points 8 and 9, ~~the maximum value on and the~~ lines 1, 5, ~~and the minimum value on line~~ 6, 8 and 9 as specified in paragraph 6.4.3. of this Regulation."

II. Justification

1. The wording of Annex 2, paragraph 2.3., which includes references to measurement of "maximum" and "minimum" values is confusing and is in conflict with the requirements of paragraph 6.4.3. of the main body of Regulation No. 19.

2. In practice, in order to determine compliance with the minimum value specified for the whole of line 6, for example, it is necessary to scan for the maximum value along the line and then check that this value exceeds the minimum value specified in paragraph 6.4.3. However, this is the normal competence of a test laboratory and, as is the case with provisions relating to the type approval measurement, it is not necessary to complicate the provisions for the Conformity of Production (CoP) testing. For this reason, it is proposed to remove the references to "maximum" and "minimum" values.
