

Economic Commission for Europe**Inland Transport Committee****Working Party on the Transport of Dangerous Goods****12 September 2012****Joint Meeting of the RID Committee of Experts and the
Working Party on the Transport of Dangerous Goods**

Geneva, 17-21 September 2012

Item 3 of the provisional agenda

Standards**Consolidated comments by Members of the Joint Meeting on draft standards dispatched by CEN since the last session****Transmitted by the European Committee for Standardisation (CEN)**

1. Reference is made to document ECE/TRANS/WP.15/AC.1/2012/26, which informs about the progress made in the establishment of new and the revision of published EN and EN ISO standards referenced or intended to be referenced in the RID/ADR/ADN. It invites Members of the Joint meeting to comment on the compliance of draft standards at enquiry and formal vote stage with regulations of RID/ADR/ADN.

2. Since the last session of March 2012, fourteen draft standards and assessments by the CEN consultant were made available on the dedicated CEN webpage. All standards have been dispatched in time (8 weeks before the meeting of the Working Group on Standards) as agreed in the revised cooperation procedures between Joint Meeting and CEN (TRANS/WP.15/AC.1/100/Add.3).

Dispatch 3 includes standards in addition to those which are included in ECE/TRANS/WP.15/AC.1/2012/26. 10 September 2012 was set as deadline for comments.

3. This document informs about the comments received and is intended to be discussed by the Working Group on Standards (STD's WG).

In line with steps 2 and 3 of the Procedure for adoption of EN Standards in RID/ADR/ADN (see ECE/TRANS/WP.15/AC.1/122/Add.2) these comments shall be limited to the compliance of the standards with RID/ADR/ADN. This informal document includes all comments received for completeness. However, it is emphasised that the STD's WG will only deal with comments on the compliance with RID/ADR/ADN. Technical and editorial comments will not be discussed. It is required that these comments are introduced by the Members via their national standardization bodies into the CEN approval and revision processes.

4. The Working group may additionally be asked to deal with the update of the general purpose standards referenced in RID/ADR/ADN. Reference is made to the informal document submitted by CEN on this subject (INF.26).

Two specific issues in this context will need to be addressed:

- A consequential deletion of the reference to EN 50015 in the Footnote to ADR 9.7.8.3 following the decision of the Joint Meeting from March 2012 to delete the reference to this standard in the footnote to ADR 9.2.2.5.1(a) (Request from OTIF Secretariat).
- The update of references to EN 2 in ADR 8.1.4.1, to EN 141 in ADR 5.4.3.4, Footnote b) and in ADR 8.1.5.3, Footnote 3) as well as to EN ISO/IEC 17020 in RID/ADR 6.2.2.10 and 6.2.3.6.1 (Request from Sweden).

A. Standards at Step 2: Submitted for Public Enquiry

prEN ISO 11120.3 3 rd submission	Gas cylinders - Acetylene cylinders - Basic requirements and type testing (ISO/DIS 3807:2012)		Where to refer in RID/ADR: 6.2.4.1	Applicable sub-sections and paragraphs: 6.2.3.1 and 6.2.3.4	
WI 023135					
Dispatch 3					
Assessment, dated 22.8.2012, Dispatch 4. Summary of conclusions: <i>There is no clause in prEN ISO/DIS 11120.3 complies with all relevant provisions of RID/ADR UN- and non-UN pressure receptacles. This third parallel enquiry draft text is supported to be promoted to the FV stage without amendment.</i> Proposed follow-up action: <i>This standard needs to be discussed by the STD's WG as an addition to the existing reference to EN ISO 11120:1999 in RID/ADR 6.2.4.1, Table, under "for design and construction" and related to subsections 6.2.3.1 and 6.2.3.4.</i>					
Comments from members of the Joint Meeting:					
Country	Clause No.	Comment (justification for change)	Proposed change	Comment from CEN Consultant	Comment from WG Standards
UK		Standard can be referenced.			

prEN ISO/DIS 10297	Gas cylinders — Cylinder valves — Specification and type testing		Where to refer in RID/ADR: 6.2.4.1	Applicable sub-sections and paragraphs: 6.2.3.1 and 6.2.3.3	
WI 023148					
Dispatch 3					
Assessment, dated 22.8.2012, Dispatch 4. Summary of conclusions: <i>Despite the large number of changes in the revised issue the draft prEN ISO 10297 is still compliant with the essential safety requirements of RID/ADR and is supported to be approved. This includes the normative references which have also be found compliant with RID/ADR. The marking Clause in the ISO text doesn't comply with the marking provisions of the TPED Directive. This should give reason to prepare an additional European requirement to become part of the ISO- text. The option of separate type approvals could also be introduced as European requirements.</i> Proposed follow-up action: <i>This standard needs to be discussed by the STD's WG as an amendment of the existing references to earlier versions of the standard in RID/ADR 6.2.4.1, Table, under "for closures", related to 6.2.3.1 and 6.2.3.3 as applicable subsections.</i>					

Comments from members of the Joint Meeting:					
Country	Clause No.	Comment (justification for change)	Proposed change	Comment from CEN Consultant	Comment from WG Standards
UK1	5	<i>Do not understand the CEN Consultant's comment no. 1 that separate type approval is not addressed. The whole of the standard is dedicated to type approval of a valve on its own. This is separate type approval.</i>		Design and type testing is the main subject of the standard. "Approval/certification appears in the Note to G.1 only.	
UK2		<i>We do not think the safety benefits of the new standard are more than incremental and do not think existing type approvals should be withdrawn in two years as suggested in KW's assessment.</i>		To be discussed at FV stage, the latest.	
UK3		<i>Agree this standard can be referenced</i>			

prEN ISO 3807 incl. EA	Gas cylinders - Acetylene cylinders - Basic requirements and type testing (ISO/DIS 3807:2012)	Where to refer in RID/ADR: 6.2.4.1	Applicable sub-sections and paragraphs: 6.2.3.1 and 6.2.3.4
WI 023166			
Dispatch 1			
<p>Assessment, dated 23.7.2012, Dispatch 4.</p> <p>Summary of conclusions:</p> <p><i>There are no non-compliances between FprEN FDIS 7866 and RID/ADR 2011 as amended to become effective on 1.1.2013. Comments by the Standards Working Group on the second enquiry text of the standard and of my assessment of the second FV text have mostly been disregarded.</i></p> <p><i>Editorial improvements are still required as well as for the ISO- text as well as for the European Annex. Details are added in form of the e-balloting template.</i></p> <p><i>It's emphasized that this assessment would be negative if no European Annex is added, as proposed.</i></p> <p>Proposed follow-up action:</p> <p><i>This standard needs to be discussed again by the STD's WG for reference in RID/ADR 6.2.4.1, Table, under "for design and construction" and related to subsections 6.2.3.1 and 6.2.3.4.</i></p>			

Comments from members of the Joint Meeting:					
Country	Clause No.	Comment (justification for change)	Proposed change	Comment from CEN Consultant	Comment from WG Standards
UK1		<i>We support the CEN Consultant's observation that the compatibility issues are not addressed.</i>	<i>Mention the required compatibilities in clause 4.</i>		
UK2		<i>We do not agree with the CEN Consultant's observation that the initial inspection and test is not included in the standard. Clause 6 and Annex I give the state of the art in ensuring conformity of the porous mass with RID/ADR 6.2.1.5.1 (j)</i>	<i>None</i>	RID/ADR 6.2.1.5.1 (j) use the term "shall be inspected..." which usually means external control. Clause 6 and Annex I describe actions by the manufacturer.	
UK3		<i>When referenced, the option of fitting a fusible plug shall be forbidden. Tests and experience have shown that fusible plugs do not enhance safety in fires and are a cause of acetylene leaks in general service.</i>	<i>Reference table in RID/ADR 6.2.4 to include a note forbidding fusible plugs.</i>	To be discussed. Seems to be an omission in UN/RID/ADR, as well.	

prEN ISO 10462 incl. EA	Gas cylinders - Acetylene cylinders - Periodic inspection and maintenance (ISO/DIS 10462:2012)	Where to refer in RID/ADR: No reference proposed	Applicable sub-sections and paragraphs: -
WI 023167			
Dispatch 1			
Assessment, dated 28.7.2012, Dispatch 4. Summary of conclusions: <i>FprEN ISO 14246 can be approved. A few editorial improvements are recommended. It is not considered a candidate for reference in RID/ADR. A merger with EN ISO 10297 is suggested.</i> Proposed follow-up action: <i>No action required, as this standard is no candidate for reference in RID/ADR.</i>			

Comments from members of the Joint Meeting:					
Country	Clause No.	Comment (justification for change)	Proposed change	Comment from CEN Consultant	Comment from WG Standards
UK1		<i>The CEN Consultant's suggestions are supported. However, since European Annexes are problematic, we suggest that the bibliographic information is included in the European Foreword</i>	<i>Give the RID and ADR references as a footnote to the Foreword.</i>		
UK2	Annex A	<i>P200 Table 2 of UN Model Regulations and of RID/ADR specify a test period of 5 years for UN 3374 Acetylene, solvent free</i>	<i>Mention a 5 year period in Annex A for UN 3374</i>		

prEN ISO 16495.2 2 nd submission	Packaging - Transport packaging for dangerous goods - Test methods (ISO/DIS 16495:2012)	Where to refer in RID/ADR: 6.1.5.1	Applicable sub-sections and paragraphs: 6.1.5
WI 261392			

Dispatch 2, corrected.

Assessment dated 10.2.2012, Dispatch 2.

Summary of conclusions:

prEN ISO/DIS 16495.2 can be promoted to the formal vote stage. A few amendments are required to align the text with RID/ADR 2013. Some improvements are also suggested.

It is a candidate for reference in RID/ADR. However, it is recommended that ISO 16495 is first moved to the UN level as a candidate to be referenced in the UN Model regulations.

Proposed follow-up action:

This standard needs to be discussed by the STD's WG as a candidate for reference in RID/ADR.

So far, no applications have been submitted to the regulatory bodies to take this standard into reference. It is assumed (and recommended to achieve a global harmonization of the test praxis) that ISO will ask to take the ISO standard into reference in the UN Model regulations.

Comments from members of the Joint Meeting:					
Country	Clause No.	Comment (justification for change)	Proposed change	Comment from CEN Consultant	Comment from WG Standards
UK1		<i>Decision on referencing to be made at UN level before consideration for adoption by RID/ADR</i>			

prEN 14140 rev	LPG equipment and accessories - Transportable refillable welded steel cylinders for LPG - Alternative design and construction		Where to refer in RID/ADR: 6.2.4.1	Applicable sub-sections and paragraphs: 6.2.3.1 and 6.2.3.4	
WI 286127					
Dispatch 2					
Assessment, dated 20.8.2012, Dispatch 4. Summary of conclusions: <i>A number of RID/ADR provisions are not or not adequately addressed in the standard. The vast majority of the normative references are out of question with regard to non-compliances. The two steel standards have the potential to conflict with the material and general design requirements of RID/ADR. This needs to be checked. Structure, headings and wording of several clauses impede the understanding and application of the standard.</i> Proposed follow-up action: <i>This standard needs to be discussed by the STD's WG as an amendment of the existing references to EN 14140:2003 and EN 14140:2003 + A1:2006 in RID/ADR, subsection 6.2.4, Table, under "design and construction" and related to 6.2.3.1 and 6.2.3.4 as applicable Subsections.</i>					
Comments from members of the Joint Meeting:					
Country	Clause No.	Comment (justification for change)	Proposed change	Comment from CEN Consultant	Comment from WG Standards
UK1	7.12.2 and Annex B	<i>We are not satisfied that periodic inspection of the exterior of "protected cylinders" will be able to detect corrosion occurring between the steel cylinder and the protective cover. Therefore these cylinders are unsuitable for RID/ADR service and should be excluded from the reference. The adhesion test does not convince that the cover will prevent water contacting the steel indefinitely.</i>		These technical concerns need to be addressed and convincing proofs provided by those countries where this type of cylinders are in use.	
UK2	7.2 Table 2; 9	<i>The CEN Consultant distinguishes between "Production tests" and "Initial inspection and test" in his comments Nos. 9 & 16. Initial inspection and test is divided into tests on an adequate sample (Batch tests) and tests on every cylinder. To my understanding all the "Production tests" are necessary for the "Initial inspection and test" and to aid understanding and use these inspections and tests should be subdivided into "Batch tests" and "Tests on every cylinder".</i>	<i>Rename "Production tests" as "Initial inspection and tests". Subdivide into "Batch tests" and "Tests on every cylinder", especially in Table 2.</i>	I don't object renaming and restructuring the entity of all manufacturing tests as proposed.	

UK3		<i>Agree that this standard needs improvement in accordance with the Consultant's recommendations</i>			
NL1	Annex B	6.2.2.7 (see 6.2.3.9 for refillable non UN cylinders) requires permanently affixed (stamped, engraved or etched) marks on the receptacle. B.1.1 "Each protected cylinder shall be fitted with an individual resilient identification electronic tag...". In B 1.2 an drawing is provided with markings, additional to the electronic tag item "7" in the drawing may contain markings but are not in line with 6.2.2.7 being detachable.		B.1.1 specifies additional marking of the cage. The required cylinder marking is required in Clause 10 to my satisfaction.c	

prEN 12972 rev	Tanks for transport of dangerous goods - Testing, inspection and marking of metallic tanks		Where to refer in RID/ADR:	Applicable sub-sections and paragraphs:	
WI 296067			6.8.2.6.2	6.8.2.4 and 6.8.3.4	
Dispatch 3					
Assessment, dated 2.8.2012, Dispatch 4.					
Summary of conclusions: <i>Major amendments are needed to align the standard with RID/ADR and to cover all regulations declared as applicable. A series of other deficiencies of editorial, technical and general nature have been detected and need to be addressed for the preparation of the FV text. Details are given in the Annex to this assessment.</i>					
Proposed follow-up action: <i>prEN 12972 rev is a candidate for reference in RID/ADR 6.8.2.6.2 as a replacement of EN 12972:2007 and related to subsections 6.8.2.4 and 6.8.3.4.</i>					
Comments from members of the Joint Meeting:					
Country	Clause No.	Comment (justification for change)	Proposed change	Comment from CEN Consultant	Comment from WG Standards
UK		<i>Agree that this standard needs improvement in accordance with the Consultant's recommendations</i>			
NL1	4.4.1	<i>Intermediate inspections of paragraph 4.4.1.also include external inspections (see 5.5). 5.5.1 of EN 12972 requires "Sheathing, thermal or other insulation shall be removed only to the extend required ...". External inspections and removal of insulation is not required by subsection 6.8.2.4.3 of RID/ADR.</i>		The regulator will not object more stringent rules if so applied in practice.	

NL2	5.7.1	<i>The determination of vacuum test pressure is not in line with the procedure in 6.4.2.3 in EN 14025. Degree of out of roundness is not an argument included in 5.7.1 of EN 12972.</i>		Seems not meant to be non-compliance with RID/ADR. To be clarified.	
-----	-------	---	--	---	--

B. Standards at Step 3: Submitted for Formal vote

FprEN ISO 7866 3 rd submission incl. EA	Gas cylinders - Refillable seamless aluminium alloy gas cylinders - Design, construction and testing (ISO/FDIS 7866:2012)		Where to refer in RID/ADR/ADN: 6.2.4.1	Applicable sub-sections and paragraphs: 6.2.3.1 and 6.2.3.4	
WI 023118					
Dispatch 1					
Assessment, corr., dated 22.6.2012, Dispatch 1. Summary of conclusions: <i>There are no non-compliances between FprEN FDIS 7866 and RID/ADR.... Comments by the Standards Working Group on the second enquiry text of the standard and of my assessment of the second FV text have mostly been disregarded. Editorial improvements are still required as well as for the ISO- text as well as for the European Annex.</i> Proposed follow-up action: <i>This standard needs to be discussed again by the STD's WG for reference in RID/ADR 6.2.4.1, Table, under "for design and construction" and related to subsections 6.2.3.1 and 6.2.3.4.</i>					
Comments from members of the Joint Meeting:					
Country	Clause No.	Comment (justification for change)	Proposed change	Comment from CEN Consultant	Comment from WG Standards
UK1	Gen	<i>The European Annex requested has been excluded from both the ISO and the EN ISO.</i>	<i>Retain EN 1975 as a reference.</i>		
UK 2		<i>The shortcomings in the ISO text are noted and the assessment will be brought to the attention of the Convenor since these are also non-compliances with the UN Model Regulations</i>			
Decision of the STD's WG:		Accepted Refused Postponed	Comments	Proposed transition regulation	Applicable for new type approvals or for renewals Latest date for withdrawal of existing type approvals
				Until further notice	

EN ISO 11114-1:2012	Gas cylinders - Compatibility of cylinder and valve materials with gas contents - Part 1: Metallic materials (ISO 11114-1:2012)		Where to refer in RID/ADR/ADN: 4.1.4.1, P200 and 4.1.6.15	Applicable sub-sections and paragraphs: 4.1.6.2 and 6.2.1.2.1	
WI 023138					
Dispatch 1, corr.					
Assessment, dated 24.10.2011, Dispatch 2. Summary of conclusions: <i>FprEN ISO DIS 11114-1:2011 conforms to the provisions of ADR/RID. It can be approved. The European Foreword shall include the agreed text on the relationship with RID/ADR.</i> Proposed follow-up action: <i>This standard needs to be discussed by the STD's WG as an updated reference in RID/ADR subsection 4.1.4.1, P200 and 4.1.6.15.</i>					
Comments from members of the Joint Meeting:					
Country	Clause No.	Comment (justification for change)	Proposed change	Comment from CEN Consultant	Comment from WG Standards
UK1		<i>ISO 1114-1:2012 has been published and was accepted for reference to replace the 1997 version in the 18th Revision of the UN Model Regulations at the June 2012 meeting of the Sub Committee of Experts. Harmonisation of RID/ADR will carry this standard into the RID/ADR 2015.</i>	<i>No action needed</i>		
Decision of the STD's WG:	Accepted Refused Postponed	Comments	Proposed transition regulation	No transition regulation required	

FprEN 15888:2012	Transportable gas cylinders — Cylinder bundles — Periodic inspection and testing		Where to refer in RID/ADR/ADN: 6.2.4.2	Applicable sub-sections and paragraphs: 6.2.3.5	
WI 023164					
Dispatch 3					
Assessment, dated 3.8.2012, Dispatch 4. Summary of conclusions: <i>Comments by the Consultant and the Working Group of standards on the enquiry draft have not been considered adequately. One of the comments is of technical nature which isn't allowed in a UAP ballot. Consequently this draft cannot be supported to be approved.</i>					

Proposed follow-up action: <i>This standard needs to be discussed by the STD's WG for reference in RID/ADR subsection 6.2.4.2 and related to the requirements of subsection 6.2.3.5 on the periodic inspection and test of non-UN pressure receptacles.</i>					
Comments from members of the Joint Meeting:					
Country	Clause No.	Comment (justification for change)	Proposed change	Comment from CEN Consultant	Comment from WG Standards
UK1		<i>In general the proposals in the CEN Consultant's assessment are supported with the following additions or amendments.</i>			
UK2	5.6, 2nd para., 1st sent.	<i>This reads "Whenever valves are reassessed, the requirements of EN ISO 22434 shall be met." Reassessed is the wrong word and has a specific meaning in TPED.</i>	<i>"Whenever valves are reused, they shall be inspected and maintained in accordance with the requirements of EN ISO 22434."</i>		
UK 3	5.6, 2nd para., 2nd sent.	<i>The comment made by Standards Working Group is correct, but the sentence that is modified by the comment does not appear in the text circulated for review.</i>	<i>Insert as second sentence "If new valves are fitted <u>they</u> shall conform to the original type approval of the bundle shall be maintained."</i>		
UK4	6, 3 rd para, 1 st sent.	<i>This sentence reads: - "The next retest date shall be shown on the bundle by either the retester or at the time of first fill." This does not make sense since a person is not an alternative to a time.</i>	<i>EITHER "The next retest date shall be marked on the bundle by either the retester or the filler at the time of first fill." OR "The next retest date shall be marked on the bundle at the time of the retest or at the first fill."</i>	To be considered at TC level. Not related to compliance with RID/ADR.	
UK5	General	<i>The word "retest" is used frequently as a shorthand/synonym for periodic inspection and test. Is this readily understood or should an explanatory note be introduced?</i>			

UK6	Bibliography	<i>The reference to the Use of Work Equipment Directive has been amended sufficiently in the text circulated for review, but is unnecessarily long.</i>	<i>Delete EITHER “as implemented in national legislation in countries” OR “in countries”</i>		
Decision of the STD’s WG:		Accepted Refused Postponed	Comments	Proposed transition regulation	No transition regulation required

FprEN 13175	LPG Equipment and accessories - Specification and testing for Liquefied Petroleum Gas (LPG) pressure vessel valves and fittings	Where to refer in RID/ADR/ADN:	Applicable sub-sections and paragraphs:
WI 286114		6.2.4.1	6.2.3.1 and 6.2.3.3
		6.8.2.6.1	6.8.2.1.1, 6.8.2.2, 6.8.2.4.1 and 6.8.3.2.3

Dispatch 2

Assessment, dated 11.8.2012, Dispatch 4.

Summary of conclusions:

A number of technical RID/ADR provisions are not or not adequately addressed in the standard. Some references have the potential to conflict with RID/DR. The reference to these standards needs to be complemented by additional requirements in EN 13175 with respect to the design against the dynamic forces of handling and travel. The marking clause needs to be amended to comply with the provisions of TPED.

It is suggested to ask the Tank Working Group with the Joint Meeting to assess whether or not the design requirement against the dynamic forces of handling and transport is relevant for industrial valves and other service equipment.

The nature of these conclusions doesn’t allow a support for approval. More details and suggested amendments are annexed to this assessment.

Proposed follow-up action:

This standard needs to be discussed by the STD’s WG as a candidate for reference in RID/ADR, sections 6.2.4.1, Table under “for closures”, related to 6.2.3.1 and 6.2.3.3 as applicable subsections as well as in RID/ADR 6.8.2.6.1, Table, under “For tanks for gases of Class2” and indicating 6.8.2.1.1, 6.8.2.2, 6.8.2.4.1 and 6.8.3.2.3 as applicable subsections and paragraphs.

Comments from members of the Joint Meeting:

Country	Clause No.	Comment (justification for change)	Proposed change	Comment from CEN Consultant	Comment from WG Standards
UK	General	<i>Agree with the CEN consultant that the standard is not yet suitable for reference.</i>			

NL1	3.1.7	<i>Note at paragraph 3.17 is superfluous, automotive applications are already excluded in the scope.</i>		To be considered at TC level. Not related to compliance with RID/ADR.	
NL2	8.12	<i>The wording in 8.12 is confusing “..leak tight when a vacuum of 50mBar absolute is applied..”.</i>	<i>Alternative: “.. leak tight when a pressure of 50mBar absolute is applied..”?</i>		
NL3		<i>Production testing is described in Annex E and “batch testing” is possible. In paragraph 10 however no markings are foreseen to make reference to a certain batch (i.e. serial/batch number).</i>			
NL4		<i>EN 13175 is supportive to EN 12252 and as such included in the normative references of this standard. Considering the limited scope of EN 13175 for LPG only, the indirect reference in EN 12252 may be sufficient.</i>			
Decision of the STD’s WG:	Accepted	Comment	Proposed transition regulation	Applicable for new type approvals or for renewals	Latest date for withdrawal of existing type approvals
	Refused				Until further notice
Postponed					

FprEN 14129	LPG Equipment and accessories - Pressure relief valves for LPG pressure vessels	Where to refer in RID/ADR/ADN: 6.8.2.6.1	Applicable sub-sections and paragraphs: 6.8.2.1.1 and 6.8.3.2.8
WI 286128			
Dispatch 3			
Assessment, dated 12.8.2012, Dispatch 4. Summary of conclusions: <i>There are no non- compliances with RID/ADR in the submitted draft. It can be approved. None of the normative references is conflicting with RID/ADR. There is no obstacle for a reference in RID/ADR.</i> Proposed follow-up action: <i>FprEN 14129 needs to be discussed by the STD’s WG as a candidate for reference in RID/ADR 6.8.2.6.1 indicating subsections 6.8.2.1.1 and 6.8.3.2.8 as applicable regulations.</i>			

Comments from members of the Joint Meeting:						
Country	Clause No.	Comment (justification for change)		Proposed change	Comment from CEN Consultant	Comment from WG Standards
UK		<i>Agree that this standard can be referenced</i>				
NL1		<i>In relation to CEN consultants remarks on prEN 13175 (see page 4 CEN assessment document) dynamic conditions are not part of EN 14129 either. If this is considered to be an issue in EN 13175 this may also apply to PRV's.</i>			The relevance of the RID/ADR design requirement on service equipment against dynamic forces should generally be clarified.	
NL2		<i>Scope of this standard is limited to LPG only. Most of the standard gas tankers are approved for a wide range of liquefied hydro carbon gases of which many outside of the definition of LPG. Due to the (commonly in LPG industry used) allowed maximum leakage rate of 15cm³/h regardless of size of valve, using the standard for a general application for under pressure liquefied gas is not acceptable. Only giving a reference for PRV's for "LPG only" will leave users in confusion. Indirect reference in EN 12252 "equipping LPG tankers" is an option.</i>				
Decision of the STD's WG:		Accepted Refused Postponed	Comment	Proposed transition regulation	Applicable for new type approvals or for renewals	Latest date for withdrawal of existing type approvals
					Until further notice	

FprEN 14893 Advanced draft for 2 nd UAP		LPG equipment and accessories - Transportable Liquefied Petroleum Gas (LPG) welded steel pressure drums with a capacity between 150 litres and 1 000 litres	Where to refer in RID/ADR/ADN: 6.2.4.1	Applicable sub-sections and paragraphs: 6.2.3.1 and 6.2.3.4	
WI 286143					
Dispatch 2.					
Assessment, dated 14.8.2012, Dispatch 4. Summary of conclusions: <i>There are still some non-compliances with RID/ADR in the submitted draft. These are related to the elements of the initial inspection and test and to the lifting test. This doesn't allow a support for the approval of the new draft.</i> Proposed follow-up action: <i>This standard needs to be discussed by the STD's WG as an amendment of the existing references to EN 14893:2006+AC:2007 in RID/ADR 6.2.4.1, Table, under "for design and construction" and related to subsections 6.2.3.1 and 6.2.3.4..</i>					
Comments from members of the Joint Meeting:					
Country	Clause No.	Comment (justification for change)	Proposed change	Comment from CEN Consultant	Comment from WG Standards
UK1	6.4	<i>The comment made by the CEN Consultant on Clause 6.5 concerning wall thickness is supported, but it applies to clause 6.4 in the version circulated for review.</i>			
UK2	6.6.6	<i>The CEN Consultant's comment on clause 6.6 and the note on marking apply to clause 6.6.6.</i>			
UK3	7	<i>Clause 7 is 7 pages long and although the CEN Consultant's proposal to change it to 6.10 is logical, it does not aid readability. Also, the title "Inspection of welds" is accurate and informative.</i>	No change	To be considered at TC level. Not related to compliance with RID/ADR.	
UK4	8	<i>We agree that Clause 8 should be reformatted as proposed by KW. As written it is very difficult to know when tests have to be performed.</i>			
UK 5	8.2	<i>The type approval tests on lugs require a force of 2 times the maximum gross weight. The test here should use the same force.</i>			
UK 6	Annex D	<i>It would be helpful to make the title clear that the whole of</i>	<i>Title of Annex D to be</i>	To be considered at	

		<i>this Annex applies only to welding imperfections</i>	<i>“Imperfections of welded joints”</i>	TC level. Not related to compliance with RID/ADR.	
UK7	E.3	<i>The authors mean “reinforcement” in the title; re-enforcement, means enforcing again, not making stronger.</i>	<i>Change title to “Nozzle reinforcement”</i>		
Decision of the STD’s WG:	Accepted Refused Postponed	Comment	Proposed transition regulation	Applicable for new type approvals or for renewals	Latest date for withdrawal of existing type approvals
				Until further notice	

FprEN 16257	Tanks for the transport of dangerous goods - Service equipment - Footvalve sizes other than 100 mm dia (nom)		Where to refer in ADR: 6.8.2.6.1 (reference questioned)	Applicable sub-sections and paragraphs: 6.8.2.2.1 and 6.8.2.2.2	
WI 296054					
Dispatch 2					
Assessment, dated 8.3.2012, Dispatch 2. Summary of conclusions: <i>FprEN 16257 is considered compliant with ADR and can be approved.</i> Proposed follow-up action: <i>This standard needs to be discussed by the STD’s WG as a candidate for reference in ADR subsection 6.8.2.6.1 and related to the requirements of paragraphs 6.8.2.2.1 and 6.8.2.2.2.</i>					
Comments from members of the Joint Meeting:					
Country	Clause No.	Comment (justification for change)	Proposed change	Comment from CEN Consultant	Comment from WG Standards
D1		<i>We support the reference of this standard. The content of prEN 16257 should be incorporated in EN 13308 and EN 13316 by a future revision of these standards.</i>			
UK		<i>Agree that this standard can be referenced</i>			

Decision of the STD’s WG:	Accepted Refused Postponed	Comment	Proposed transition regulation	Applicable for new type approvals or for renewals	Latest date for withdrawal of existing type approvals
				Until further notice	