Committee of Experts on the Transport of Dangerous Goods and on the Globally Harmonized System of Classification and Labelling of Chemicals

Sub-Committee of Experts on the Transport of Dangerous Goods

Forty-first session Geneva, 25 June – 4 July 2012 Item 10 (a) of the provisional agenda Issues relating to GHS and labelling of chemicals: Corrosivity criteria Sub-Committee of Experts on the Globally Harmonized System of Classification and Labelling of Chemicals

Twenty-third session Geneva, 4 – 6 July 2012 Item 4 © of the provisional agenda **Implementation of GHS:**

Cooperation with other bodies or international organisations

Harmonisation of the skin corrosion classification criteria in the UN Model Regulations with those in GHS

Transmitted by the European Chemical Industry Council (CEFIC)

Summary

Based on the outcome of the discussions at the last meeting of the Joint TDG-GHS group on corrosivity criteria this document proposes to harmonise chapter 2.8 of the UN Model Regulations with GHS taking into account the specific impact on transport conditions. This is achieved firstly by adding GHS text which is not already in the UN Model Regulations but which has been slightly amended regarding specific TDG terms and numbering, and secondly by introducing, based upon a risk approach, provisions for the assignment of Packing Groups to mixtures and solutions for which the additivity approach does not apply

Background

1. The Joint TDG-GHS group on corrosivity criteria agreed at its last meeting during the 40th session of the UN Subcommittee of the Transport on Dangerous Goods (see UN/SCETDG/40/INF.51), that its objective was:

- One classification for a substance or mixture for both transport and supply/use and based on hazard,
- The assignment of packing groups for transport based on hazard and risk.

Introduction

2. The outcome of the discussion at the last meeting of the joint TDG-GHS group was as follows:



- The criteria for the classification based on test data are already harmonised, including the available OECD test guidelines.
- The use of alternative methods to testing (e.g. the use of bridging principles or calculation methods) for classifying mixtures, are allowed under current provisions of the UN Model Regulations. If this option should not be clear from the current provisions of the UN Model Regulations, an amendment to the text in Chapter 2.8 might be needed.

3. Based on these conclusions CEFIC sees the need to integrate the GHS skin corrosion criteria for mixtures into the UN Model Regulations, in order to achieve harmonized classification criteria. Therefore CEFIC proposes to incorporate the GHS skin corrosion criteria for mixtures into Chapter 2.8 of the UN Model Regulations, taking account of the risk based assignment of transport conditions. As the non-additive GHS criteria for mixtures are based on the concept of expert judgement and weight of evidence (GHS 1.3.2.4.8 and 1.3.2.4.9), this concept should also be entered into the Model Regulations, either directly in chapter 2.8, but as the concept is valid to all health and environmental hazards, CEFIC suggests incorporation into chapter 2.0 (see separate UN/SCETDG/41/INF.28).

Proposal

4. CEFIC proposes to revise Chapter 2.8 as shown in the left column of the table in Annex I whereby new text has been underlined. In principle the new text is adopted from the corresponding GHS text but, where necessary, adapted concerning numbering, terms (e.g. packing groups instead of categories) and scope (e.g. irritation criteria are not adopted). Text that differs from GHS has been struck-through. For comparison purposes the corresponding text in GHS (based on the current proposal of the informal correspondence group on the editorial revision of Chapters 3.2 and 3.3) has been reproduced in the right column of the table in Annex I.

Justification

5. Annex II lists examples which emphasize the importance of the assignment of Packing Group II in table 2.8.6.8 and in paragraph 2.8.4.4. During the discussion in the TDG/GHS correspondence group at the June 2011 meeting there was consensus that in case the non-additivity approach is applicable (see 2.8.4.3 and 2.8.6.4) the assignment of Packing Group II should be appropriate rather than Packing Group I. A differentiation into all 3 packing groups is possible following the rules in 2.8.4.4. Prerequisite was that Industry can provide examples to confirm the validity of this approach: these are offered in Annex II.

6. Annex III lists the n.o.s. entries of Class 8 substances without sub risks with the corresponding packing and tank instructions and hereby provides an impression of the impact of imposing stricter packing groups. It is important to note that the transport conditions based on the current regulatory provisions have proved to guarantee safe transport for decades.

7. Without adequate safety-relevant justification, significant changes in the assignment of the packing group will lead to severe operational difficulties, as the use of certain types of packagings and tanks will be prohibited. Many shippers and consignees will therefore have to reconstruct their facilities in order to be able to continue filling and discharging operations. Additionally, the assignment of PG I might also exclude Class 8 substances from shipments by air, and as limited or excepted quantities.

8. IBCs are generally not approved for the transport of PG I liquid products whereas the transport of PG I solid products is only permitted with additional logistic restrictions and making use of a limited selection of IBC construction types. As a result the established filling and discharge procedures will have to be adapted to handle alternative packagings like drums. However the capacity of these packagings is generally limited to a filling volume of about 220 litres so that the number of handlings, shipments and associated risks will significantly increase, on top of the additional costs.

9. On the other hand the extremely low number of incidents reported on IBC shipments of dangerous goods, indicate that IBCs are an appropriate means to safely handle and transport dangerous goods, including Class 8 products.

10. Tanks required for substances of Packing Group I have to comply with high minimum test pressures and are therefore heavier than tanks required for Packing Group II substances. As a consequence the number of bulk transport operations, necessary to carry the same amount of product, will increase in relation to what is necessary for substances of packing groups II or III. Additionally, for substances of Packing Group I only tanks without bottom valves, i.e. only top loading and unloading operations are permitted so that loading and unloading facilities designed for bottom valves will have to be adapted. It should also be noted that the availability of tank equipment for PG I substances could become critical with respect to creating supply bottlenecks and delivery failures.

11. In addition to the chemical industry, also the ore processing industry and incineration plants will be affected via numerous side-products which are assigned to Class 8 and which are transported in large quantities for further processing.

12. Overall, the assignment of stricter packing groups will result into significant downstream consequences, without corresponding safety benefits, and will even lead to higher operational risks in the transport of packed goods of Class 8.

Annex I

Comparison between the proposed text of Chapter 2.8 in the UN Model Regulations and the text in Chapter 3.2 of GHS

| CHAPTER 2.8CCLASS 8 - CORROSIVE SUBSTANCESS2.8.1 Definition3Class 8 substances (corrosive substances) are substances3which, by chemical action, will cause severe damage when in contact with living tissue, or, in the case of leakage, will materially damage, or evenep | CHAPTER 3.2 SKIN CORROSION/IRRITATION 3.2.1 Definitions and general considerations 3.2.1.1 Skin corrosion is the production of irreversible damage to the skin; namely, visible necrosis through the epidermis and into the dermis, following the application of a test substance for up to 4 hoursF ^I F Corrosive reactions are typified by ulcers, bleeding, bloody scabs, and, by the end of |
|--|--|
| CLASS 8 - CORROSIVE SUBSTANCESS2.8.1 Definition3Class 8 substances (corrosive substances) are substances3which, by chemical action, will cause severe damage when in contact with living tissue, or, in the case of leakage, will materially damage, or evenep | SKIN CORROSION/IRRITATION 3.2.1 Definitions and general considerations 3.2.1.1 Skin corrosion is the production of irreversible damage to the skin; namely, visible necrosis through the epidermis and into the dermis, following the application of a test substance for up to 4 hoursF ¹ F Corrosive reactions are typified by ulcers, bleeding, bloody scabs, and, by the end of |
| 2.8.1 Definition3 Class 8 substances (corrosive substances) are substances3which, by chemical action, will cause severe damage when in contact with living tissue, or, in the case of leakage, will materially damage, or evenep | 3.2.1Definitions and general considerations $3.2.1.1$ Skin corrosion is the production of irreversibledamage to the skin; namely, visible necrosis through theepidermis and into the dermis, following the application of atest substance for up to 4 hoursF ¹ F Corrosive reactions aretypified by ulcers, bleeding, bloody scabs, and, by the end of |
| Class 8 substances (corrosive substances) are substances3which, by chemical action, will cause severe damage when in contact with living tissue, or, in the case of leakage, will materially damage, or evenep | 3.2.1.1 <i>Skin corrosion</i> is the production of irreversible damage to the skin; namely, visible necrosis through the epidermis and into the dermis, following the application of a test substance for up to 4 hours $F^{I}F$ Corrosive reactions are typified by ulcers, bleeding, bloody scabs, and, by the end of |
| destroy, other goods or the means of transport. ty o th H q d si | observation at 14 days, by discoloration due to blanching of the skin, complete areas of alopecia, and scars. Histopathology should be considered to evaluate questionable lesions. <i>Skin irritation</i> is the production of reversible damage to the skin following the application of a test substance for up to 4 hours ¹ . |
| 3 u fc ir sa aj th n d tc v v e c | 3.2.1.2 In a tiered approach, emphasis should be placed upon existing human data, followed by existing animal data, followed by in vitro data and then other sources of information. Classification results directly when the data satisfy the criteria. In case the criteria cannot be directly applied, classification of a substance or a mixture is made on the basis of the total weight of evidence (see 1.3.2.4.9). This means that all available information bearing on the determination of skin corrosion/irritation is considered together, including the results of appropriate validated <i>in</i> <i>vitro</i> tests, relevant animal data, and human data such as epidemiological and clinical studies and well-documented case reports and observations. |
| 2.8.2 Assignment of packing groups 3 3 3 3 3 | 3.2.2 Classification criteria for substances3.2.2.1 Substance classification based on standard animal test data3.2.2.1.1 Skin Corrosion |

¹ This is a working definition for the purpose of this document.

| UN Model Regulations | GHS | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|--|--|--|
| CHAPTER 2.8 | CHAPTER 3.2 | | | | | |
| CLASS 8 - CORROSIVE SUBSTANCES | SKIN CORRO | SION/IRRI | TATION | | | |
| 2.8.2.1 Substances and preparations of Class 8 are divided | 3.2.2.1.1.1 Corrosive substances should be classified in | | | | | |
| among the three packing groups according to their degree of | Category 1 where sub-categorization is not required by a | | | | | |
| hazard in transport as follows: | competent authority or where data are not sufficient for sub | | | | | |
| (a) Packing group I: Very dangerous substances and | categorization. | A corrosive si | ubstance is a te | est material that | | |
| preparations; | produces destruction of skin tissue, namely, visible necrosis through the epidermis and into the dermis, in at least 1 tester | | | | | |
| (b) Packing group II: Substances and preparations presenting | | | | | | |
| medium danger; | animal after exp | osure up to a | 4 hour duratio | n. Corrosive | | |
| (c) <i>Packing group III</i> : Substances and preparations presenting | reactions are typ | offied by ulce | rs, bleeding, bl | loody scabs and, | | |
| minor danger. | by the end of ob | servation at 1 | 4 days, by dis | coloration due to | | |
| | Histopathology | should be cou | ste areas of alo | pecia and scars. | | |
| | lesions. | should be col | | cern questionable | | |
| | 3.2.2.1.1.2 one designation are provided wir Table 3.2.1): su are noted follow hour observation responses are de minutes and 1 h category 1C, wh exposures betwee to 14 days. Table 3.2.1: Sk 1BCategory 1: Corrosive | For those for corrosivit thin the corro ib-category 1. ring up to 3 m is sub-catego escribed follo our and observe ere corrosive ere 1 hour an in corrosive sub- categories | authorities wa y, up to three sive category A, where corror inutes exposure values exposure vations up to 1 responses occ d 4 hours and o category and 2BCorrosive | nting more than sub-categories (Category 1, see osive responses re and up to 1 orrosive between 3 14 days; and sub- cur after observations up sub-categories ^a $rac{1}{2}$ in ≥ 1 animal | | |
| | | | Exposure | Observation | | |
| | corrosive | 1A | \leq 3 min | $\leq 1 h$ | | |
| | | 1B | $> 3 \min \le 1$ | \leq 14 days | | |
| | | | h | | | |
| | | 1C | $> 1 h \leq 4 h$ | \leq 14 da s | | |
| 2.8.2.2 Allocation of substances listed in the Dangerous Goods | | | | | | |
| List in Chapter 3.2 to the packing groups in Class 8 has been | | | | | | |
| made on the basis of experience taking into account such | | | | | | |
| auditional factors as innalation risk (see 2.8.2.3) and reactivity | | | | | | |
| with water (including the formation of dangerous decomposition products). New substances, including minimum | | | | | | |
| can be assigned to packing groups on the basis of the length of | | | | | | |
| time of contact necessary to produce full thickness destruction | | | | | | |
| of human skin in accordance with the criteria in 2.8.2.4 | | | | | | |
| Liquids, and solids which may become liquid during transport | | | | | | |
| which are judged not to cause full thickness destruction of | | | | | | |
| human skin shall still be considered for their potential to cause | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |

| UN Model Regulations | GHS |
|---|---------------------------|
| CHAPTER 2.8 | CHAPTER 3.2 |
| CLASS 8 - CORROSIVE SUBSTANCES | SKIN CORROSION/IRRITATION |
| criteria in 2.8.2.5 (c) (ii). | |
| 2.8.2.3 A substance or preparation meeting the criteria of Class | |
| 8 having an inhalation toxicity of dusts and mists (LC50) in | |
| the range of packing group I, but toxicity through oral | |
| ingestion or dermal contact only in the range of packing group | |
| III or less, shall be allocated to Class 8 (see note under | |
| 2.6.2.2.4.1). | |
| 2.8.2.4 In assigning the packing group to a substance in | |
| accordance with 2.8.2.2, account shall be taken of human | |
| experience in instances of accidental exposure. In the absence | |
| of human experience the grouping shall be based on data | |
| obtained from experiments in accordance with OECD Test | |
| Guideline 4041 or 4352. A substance which is determined not | |
| to be corrosive in accordance with OECD Test Guideline 4303 | |
| or 4314 may be considered not to be corrosive to skin for the | |
| purposes of these Regulations without further testing. | |
| 2.8.2.5 Packing groups are assigned to corrosive substances in | |
| accordance with the following criteria: | |
| (a) <i>Packing group I</i> is assigned to substances that cause full | |
| thickness destruction of intact skin tissue within an | |
| observation period up to 60 minutes starting after the exposure | |
| time of three minutes or less: | |
| (b) <i>Packing group II</i> is assigned to substances that cause full | |
| thickness destruction of intact skin tissue within an | |
| observation period up to 14 days starting after the exposure | |
| time of more than three minutes but not more than 60 minutes: | |
| (c) <i>Packing group III</i> is assigned to substances that: | |
| (i) cause full thickness destruction of intact skin tissue within | |
| an observation period up to 14 days starting after the exposure | |
| time of more than 60 minutes but not more than 4 hours: or | |
| (ii) are judged not to cause full thickness destruction of intact | |
| skin tissue but which exhibit a corrosion rate on either steel or | |
| aluminium surfaces exceeding 6.25 mm a year at a test | |
| temperature of 55 °C when tested on both materials. For the | |
| purposes of testing steel, type S235JR+CR (1.0037 resn. St | |
| 37-2), \$275J2G3+CR (1,0144 resp. St 44-3), ISO 3574 or | |
| Unified Numbering System (UNS) G10200 or a similar type | |
| or SAE 1020, and for testing aluminium. non-clad. type | |
| 7075–T6 or AZ5GU-T6 shall be used. An acceptable test is | |
| prescribed in the <i>Manual of Tests and Criteria</i> . Part III | |
| Section 37. | |
| NOTE: Where an initial test on either steel or aluminium | |
| indicates the substance | |
| being tested is corrosive the follow up test on the other metal | |
| is not required. | |

_

| τ | N Model R | egulations | | | GHS | | | | |
|-------------|-------------------------------|-------------------|-----------------------|-------------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| CHAPTER 2.8 | | | | | CHAPTER 3.2 | | | | |
| (| LASS 8 - CORROSIVE SUBSTANCES | | | | SKIN CORROSION/IRRITATION | | | | |
| 1 | able 2.8.2.5 | : Table sumn | narizing the | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | Packing | | | | | | | | |
| | Group | Exposure | Observation | | | | | | |
| | | Time | Period | Effect | | | | | |
| | Ι | | | Full thickness | | | | | |
| | | < 2 min | < (0 min | destruction of | | | | | |
| | | $\leq 3 \min$ | $\leq 60 \text{ min}$ | Intact skin | | | | | |
| | II | $> 2 \min < 1$ | | Full thickness | | | | | |
| | | $> 5 \min \geq 1$ | < 14 d | intact skin | | | | | |
| | ш | 11 | <u>_</u> 1+u | Full thickness | | | | | |
| | 111 | | | destruction of | | | | | |
| | | > 1 h < 4 h | < 14 d | intact skin | | | | | |
| | III | | | Corrosion rate on | | | | | |
| | | | | either steel or | | | | | |
| | | | | aluminium | | | | | |
| | | | | surfaces | | | | | |
| | | | | exceeding 6.25 | | | | | |
| | | | | mm a year at a | | | | | |
| | | | | test temperature | | | | | |
| | | | | of 55 °C when | | | | | |
| | | | | tested on both | | | | | |
| N | lot applicabl | - | - | materials | | | | | |
| г | ot applicabl | e | | | 3.2.2.1.2 Skin Irritation | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | 3.2.2.1.2.1 A single <i>irritant category (Category 2)</i> is | | | | |
| | | | | | provided that: | | | | |
| | | | | | (a) recognizes that some test materials | | | | |
| | | | | | (a) recognizes that some test materials may lead to effects which persist | | | | |
| | | | | | throughout the length of the test: and | | | | |
| | | | | | (b) acknowledges that animal responses in | | | | |
| | | | | | a test may be quite variable. | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | An additional <i>mild irritant category</i> | | | | |
| | | | | | (<i>Category 3</i>) is available for | | | | |
| | | | | | those authorities that want to | | | | |
| | | | | | have more than one skin irritant | | | | |
| | | | | | category. | | | | |
| | | | | | 322122 Reversibility of skin lesions is another | | | | |
| | | | | | consideration in evaluating irritant responses. When | | | | |
| | | | | | inflammation persists to the end of the observation period in | | | | |
| | | | | | 2 or more test animals, taking into consideration alopecia | | | | |
| | | | | | (limited area), hyperkeratosis, hyperplasia and scaling, then | | | | |
| | | | | | a material should be considered to be an irritant. | | | | |
| | | | | | 3.2.2.1.2.3 Animal irritant responses within a test can be | | | | |

| UN Model Regulations | GHS | | | | | |
|--------------------------------|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| CHAPTER 2.8 | CHAPTER 3.2 | | | | | |
| CLASS 8 - CORROSIVE SUBSTANCES | SKIN CORROSION/IRRITATION | | | | | |
| | quite variable, as they are with corrosion. A separate irritant criterion accommodates cases when there is a significant irritant response but less than the mean score criterion for a positive test. For example, a test material might be designated as an irritant if at least 1 of 3 tested animals shows a very elevated mean score throughout the study, including lesions persisting at the end of an observation period of normally 14 days. Other responses could also fulfil this criterion. However, it should be ascertained that the responses are the result of chemical exposure. Addition of this criterion increases the sensitivity of the classification system.3.2.2.1.2.4A single irritant category (Category 2) is presented in the table using the results of animal testing. Authorities (e.g. for pesticides) also have available a less severe mild irritant category (Category 3). Several criteria distinguish the two categories (Table 3.2.2). They mainly differ in the severity of skin reactions. The major criterion for the irritant category is that at least 2 tested animals have a mean score of $\geq 2.3 \leq 4.0$. For the mild irritant category, the mean score cut-off values are $\geq 1.5 < 2.3$ for at least 2 tested animals. Test materials in the irritant category would be excluded from being placed in the mild irritant category.Table 3.2.2Skin irritation categories a.b | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | Categories 3BCriteria | | | | | |
| | Irritant (1) Mean score of ≥ 2.3 ≤ 4.0 for (Category 2) erythema/eschar or for oedema (applies to all authorities) in at least 2 of 3 tested animals from gradings at 24, 48 and 72 hours after patch removal or, if reactions are delayed, from grades on 3 consecutive days after the onset of skin reactions; or (2) Inflammation that persists to the end of the observation period normally 14 days in at least 2 animals, particularly taking into account alopecia (limited area), hyperkeratosis, hyperplasia, and scaling; or (3) In some cases where there is pronounced variability of or | | | | | |
| | pronounced variability of response among animals, with very definite positive effects related to chemical exposure in a single animal but less than the | | | | | |

| UN Model Regulations | GHS | | | |
|--|---|--|--|--|
| CHAPTER 2.8 | CHAPTER 3.2 | | | |
| CLASS 8 - CORROSIVE SUBSTANCES | SKIN CORROSION/IRRITATION | | | |
| | criteria above. | | | |
| | Mild irritant (Category 3) (applies to only some authorities)Mean score of $\geq 1.5 < 2.3$ for erythema/eschar or for oedema from gradings in at least 2 of 3 tested animals from grades at 24, 48 and 72 hours or, if reactions are delayed, from grades on 3 consecutive days after the onset of skin reactions (when not included in the irritant category above). | | | |
| 2.8.3 Substance classification in a tiered approach | 3.2.2.2 Substance classification in a tiered approach | | | |
| 2.8.3.1 Existing human and animal data including information from single or repeated exposure should be the first line of analysis, as they give information directly relevant to effects on the skin. If a substance is highly toxic by the dermal route, a skin corrosion/irritation study may not be practicable since the amount of test substance to be applied would considerably exceed the toxic dose and, consequently, would result in the death of the animals. When observations are made of skin corrosion/irritation in acute toxicity studies and are observed up through the limit dose, these data may be used for classification provided that the dilutions used and species tested are equivalent. Solid substances (powders) may become corrosive or irritant when moistened or in contact with moist skin or mucous membranes. <i>In vitro</i> alternatives that have been validated and accepted should be used to make classification decisions. Likewise, pH extremes like ≤ 2 and ≥ 11.5 may indicate skin effects, especially when associated with significant buffering capacity. Generally, such substances are expected to produce significant effects on the skin. In the absence of any other information, a substance is considered corrosive (for packing group assignment see 2.8.4.4) if it has a pH ≤ 2 or a pH ≥ 11.5 . However, if consideration of alkali/acid reserve suggests the substance may not be corrosive despite the low or high pH value, this needs to be confirmed by other data, preferably by data from an appropriate validated <i>in vitro</i> test. In some cases enough information may be available from structurally related substances to make classification decisions. | 3.2.2.2.1 Existing human and animal data including information from single or repeated exposure should be the first line of analysis, as they give information directly relevant to effects on the skin. If a substance is highly toxic by the dermal route, a skin corrosion/irritation study may not be practicable since the amount of test substance to be applied would considerably exceed the toxic dose and, consequently, would result in the death of the animals. When observations are made of skin corrosion/irritation in acute toxicity studies and are observed up through the limit dose, these data may be used for classification provided that the dilutions used and species tested are equivalent. Solid substances (powders) may become corrosive or irritant when moistened or in contact with moist skin or mucous membranes. <i>In vitro</i> alternatives that have been validated and accepted should be used to make classification decisions. Likewise, pH extremes like ≤ 2 and ≥ 11.5 may indicate skin effects, especially when associated with significant buffering capacity. Generally, such substances are expected to produce significant effects on the skin. In the absence of any other information, a substance is considered corrosive (Skin Category 1) if it has a pH ≤ 2 or a pH ≥ 11.5 . However, if consideration of alkali/acid reserve suggests the substance may not be corrosive despite the low or high pH value, this needs to be confirmed by other data, preferably by data from an appropriate validated <i>in vitro</i> test. In some cases enough information may be available from structurally related substances to make classification decisions. | | | |
| <u>AXXX</u> <u>A nereal approach to the evaluation of miniat</u> information should be considered, where applicable (Figure XXX), recognizing that not all elements may be relevant. | 3.2.2.2.2 A <i>tiered approach</i> to the evaluation of initial information should be considered, where applicable (Figure 3.2.1), recognizing that not all elements may be relevant. | | | |
| 2.8.3.2 The proposed tiered approach provides guidance on how to organize existing information on a substance and to make a weight-of-evidence decision about hazard assessment and hazard classification (ideally without conducting new animal tests). | 3.2.2.2.3 The proposed tiered approach provides guidance on how to organize existing information on a substance and to make a weight-of-evidence decision about hazard assessment and hazard classification (ideally without event text) | | | |
| Although information might be gained from the evaluation of | conducting new annual tests). | | | |

| UN Model Regulations | GHS |
|--|--|
| CHAPTER 2.8 | CHAPTER 3.2 |
| CLASS 8 - CORROSIVE SUBSTANCES | SKIN CORROSION/IRRITATION |
| single parameters within a tier (see XXXX), consideration | Although information might be gained from the evaluation |
| should be given to the totality of existing information and | of single parameters within a tier (see 3.2.2.2.2), |
| making an overall weight of evidence determination. This is | consideration should be given to the totality of existing |
| especially true when there is conflict in information available | information and making an overall weight of evidence |
| on some parameters. | determination. This is especially true when there is conflict |
| | in information available on some parameters. |
| 2.8.4 Classification criteria for mixtures | 3.2.3 Classification criteria for mixtures |
| | |
| 2.8.4.1 Classification of mixtures when data are | 3.2.3.1 Classification of mixtures when data |
| available for the complete mixture | are available for the complete mixture |
| 2.8.4.2 The mixture will be classified using the | 3.2.3.1.1 The mixture will be classified using the |
| criteria for substances, and taking into account the tiered | criteria for substances, and taking into account the tiered |
| approach to evaluate data for this hazard class. | approach to evaluate data for this hazard class. |
| 2.8.4.3 Unlike other hazard classes, there are | 3.2.3.1.2 Unlike other hazard classes, there are |
| alternative tests available for skin corrosivity that can give an | alternative tests available for skin corrosivity that can give |
| accurate result for classification purposes, as well as being | an accurate result for classification purposes, as well as |
| simple and relatively inexpensive to perform. When | being simple and relatively inexpensive to perform. When |
| considering testing of the mixture, classifiers are encouraged | considering testing of the mixture, classifiers are encouraged |
| to use a tiered weight of evidence strategy as included in the | to use a tiered weight of evidence strategy as included in the |
| criteria for classification of substances for skin corrosion and | criteria for classification of substances for skin corrosion and |
| irritation to help ensure an accurate classification, as well as | irritation to help ensure an accurate classification, as well as |
| avoid unnecessary animal testing. In the absence of any other | avoid unnecessary animal testing. In the absence of any |
| information, a mixture is considered corrosive [for PG | other information, a mixture is considered corrosive (Skin |
| assignment see 2.8.4.4] if it has a pH \leq 2 or a pH \geq 11.5. | Category 1) if it has a pH \leq 2 or a pH \geq 11.5. However, if |
| However, if consideration of acid/alkaline reserve suggests the | consideration of acid/alkaline reserve suggests the mixture |
| mixture may not be corrosive despite the low or high pH | may not be corrosive despite the low or high pH value, this |
| value, this needs to be confirmed by other data, preferably by | needs to be confirmed by other data, preferably by data from |
| data from an appropriate validated <i>in vitro</i> test. | an appropriate validated <i>in vitro</i> test. |
| NEW TEXT (without corresponding text in GHS) | |
| | |
| 2.8.4.4 For the assignment of the packing group, the following should apply: | |
| - If classified to be corrosive according to the provisions | |
| above, the basic assignment should be packing group II | |
| as the module assignment should be pucking group in. | |
| - Packing group I has only to be assigned if other data or other information is available that lead clearly to a classification in a | |
| stricter packing group. | |
| | |
| - If the mixture consists only of components assigned to | |
| packing group III and other non-corrosive components or if | |
| additional data (e.g. validated in vitro test data) is available, | |
| packing group III may be assigned. | |
| See part 2: general application of bridging principles | 3.2.3.2 Classification of mixtures when data |
| | are not available for the complete mixture: bridging |
| | principles |
| | 5.2.5.2.2 Dilution |
| | 3.2.3.2.3 Batching |
| | 3.2.3.2.4 <i>Concentration of mixtures of the highest</i> |
| | corrosion/ irritation category |
| | 5.2.5.2.5 Interpolation within one toxicity |

_

| UN Model Regulations | GHS |
|--|---|
| CHAPTER 2.8 | CHAPTER 3.2 |
| CLASS 8 - CORROSIVE SUBSTANCES | SKIN CORROSION/IRRITATION |
| | 3.2.3.2.6 Substantially similar mixtures |
| 2.8.5 Aerosols | 3.2.3.2.7 Aerosols |
| | |
| An aerosol form of a mixture may be classified in the | An aerosol form of a mixture may be |
| same hazard category as the tested non-aerosolized form of | classified in the same hazard category as the tested non- |
| mixture provided that the added propellant does not affect the | aerosolized form of mixture provided that the added |
| irritation or corrosive properties of the mixture upon spraying. | propellant does not affect the irritation or corrosive |
| | properties of the mixture upon spraying. |
| 2.8.6 Classification of mixtures when data are available for | 3.2.3.3 Classification of mixtures when data |
| all ingredients or only for some ingredients of the mixture | are available for all ingredients or only for some ingredients of the mixture |
| 2.8.6.1 In order to make use of all available data | 3.2.3.3.1 In order to make use of all available data |
| for purposes of classifying the skin corrosion/irritation hazards | for purposes of classifying the skin corrosion/irritation |
| of mixtures, the following assumption has been made and is | hazards of mixtures, the following assumption has been |
| applied where appropriate in the tiered approach: | made and is applied where appropriate in the tiered |
| | approach: |
| The "relevant ingredients" of a mixture are those | |
| which are present in concentrations $\geq 1\%$ (w/w for solids, | The "relevant ingredients" of a mixture |
| liquids, dusts, mists and vapors and v/v for gases), unless there | are those which are present in concentrations $\geq 1\%$ (w/w for |
| is a presumption (e.g. in the case of corrosive ingredients) that | solids, liquids, dusts, mists and vapors and v/v for gases), |
| an ingredient present at a concentration < 1% can still be | unless there is a presumption (e.g. in the case of corrosive |
| relevant for classifying the mixture for skin | ingredients) that an ingredient present at a concentration < |
| corrosion/irritation. | 1% can still be relevant for classifying the mixture for skin |
| | corrosion/irritation. |
| 2.8.6.2 In general, the approach to classification of mixtures as | 3.2.3.3.2 In general, the approach to classification |
| irritant or corrosive to skin when data are available on the | of mixtures as irritant or corrosive to skin when data are |
| ingredients, but not on the mixture as a whole, is based on the | available on the ingredients, but not on the mixture as a |
| theory of additivity, such that each corrosive or irritant | whole, is based on the theory of additivity, such that each |
| ingredient contributes to the overall-irritant or corrosive | corrosive or irritant ingredient contributes to the overall |
| properties of the mixture in proportion to its potency and | irritant or corrosive properties of the mixture in proportion to |
| concentration. A weighting factor of 10 is used for corrosive | its potency and concentration. A weighting factor of 10 is |
| ingredients when they are present at a concentration below the | used for corrosive ingredients when they are present at a |
| concentration limit for classification with Category 1, but are | concentration below the concentration limit for classification |
| at a concentration that will contribute to the classification of | with Category 1, but are at a concentration that will |
| and mixture as an initialit. The mixture is classified as | The mixture is classified as corrective or initiant when the |
| such ingradiants avagade a cut off value/concentration limit | sum of the concentrations of such ingradiants avoacds a sut |
| such ingreatents exceeds a cut-off value/concentration mint. | off value/concentration limit |
| 2,8,6,3 Table 2,8,6,7 below provides the cut-off | 3.2.3.3.3. Table 3.2.3 helow provides the cut-off |
| value/concentration limits to be used to determine if the | value/concentration limits to be used to determine if the |
| mixture is considered to be an irritant or a corrosive to the | mixture is considered to be an irritant or a corrosive to the |
| skin. | skin. |
| 2.8.6.4 Particular care must be taken when | 3.2.3.3.4 Particular care must be taken when |
| classifying certain types of chemicals such as acids and bases. | classifying certain types of chemicals such as acids and |
| inorganic salts, aldehydes, phenols, and surfactants. The | bases, inorganic salts, aldehydes, phenols, and surfactants. |
| approach explained in 2.8.6.1 and 2.8.6.2 might not work | The approach explained in 3.2.3.3.1 and 3.2.3.3.2 might not |
| given that many of such substances are corrosive or irritant at | work given that many of such substances are corrosive or |
| concentrations < 1%. For mixtures containing strong acids or | irritant at concentrations < 1%. For mixtures containing |
| bases the pH should be used as classification criteria (see | strong acids or bases the pH should be used as classification |
| 2.8.4.3) since pH will be a better indicator of corrosion than | criteria (see 3.2.3.1.2) since pH will be a better indicator of |

| UN Model Regulations | GHS | | | | | | | |
|--|------------------------------------|----------------------------|---|--|----------------------|-------------------|-----------------|--|
| CHAPTER 2.8 | | | | HAPTER 3.2 | | | | |
| CLASS 8 - CORROSIVE SUBSTANCES | | | | KIN CORROSI | ON/IRRITA | ΓΙΟΝ | | |
| the concentration limits of Table 2.8.6.7. A mixture | | | co | corrosion than the concentration limits of Table 3.2.3. A | | | | |
| containing corrosive or irritant ingredients that cannot be | | | m | ixture containing | g corrosive or | irritant ingredi | ents that | |
| classified based on the additivity ap | proach show | n in | ca | annot be classifie | d based on the | additivity app | broach shown | |
| Table 2.8.6.7, due to chemical chara | acteristics that | t make this | in | Table 3.2.3, due | e to chemical c | haracteristics | that make this | |
| approach unworkable, should be cla | ssified as pa | cking group II | ar | oproach unworka | ble, should be | classified as s | kin Category | |
| if it contains $> 1\%$ of a corrosive ins | predient and | as skin | 1 | if it contains > 1 | % of a corrosi | ve ingredient a | and as skin | |
| Category $2/3$ when it contains $> 3\%$ | of an irritan | t ingredient. | C | ategory 2/3 when | 1 it contains > | 3% of an irrita | int ingredient. | |
| Classification of mixtures with ingre | edients for w | hich the | C | lassification of n | nixtures with in | ngredients for | which the | |
| approach in Table 2.8.6.7 does not a | apply is sumr | narized in | ar | proach in Table | 3 2 3 does not | apply is sum | narized in | |
| Table 2.8.6.8 below | <u>ippiy 15 54111</u> | <u>marized m</u> | T | able 3.2.4 below | 5.2.5 4005 110 | uppiy is sum | iluitzeu ili | |
| 2865 On occasion reliable | e data may s | how that the | 3 | 2335 | On occasion | reliable data | may show that | |
| 2.8.0.5 Off occasion, renadi | tiont will not | he avident | 5. th | 2.3.3.3 | /irritation of ar | ingradiant wi | ll not he | |
| skin conosion/initiation of an ingree | nerie concer | tration out off | u | vident when pres | ant at a laval a | hove the gape | ni not be | |
| when present at a rever above the ge | and 28 4 9 | in these acces | e | and the second s | off volves man | tioned in Tabl | as 2 2 2 and | |
| the mixture could be cleasified | <u>1114 2.8.0.8. 1</u> | a data (| | 2.4 In these | on values mer | noneu m Tabl | es 5.2.5 and | |
| also Classification of hermal | ruing to thos | e data (see | 5. | 2.4. In these cas | doto (arr -1 | Classificati | sined | |
| anso Classification of hazardous sub | limits (1.2.2 | $\frac{mixiures}{2}$ | ac | between a set of those | uata (see also | Classification | oj nazardous | |
| Use of cut off values/Concentration | <u>-umus (1.3.3</u> | .2)). On | sı | ubstances and mi | xiures – Use o | $2 \rightarrow 0$ | tion where it | |
| occasion, when it is expected that the | le skin corros | sion/irritation | <i>vc</i> | uues/Concentral | $100 \ limits (1.3)$ | .3.2). On occas | sion, when it | |
| of an ingredient will not be evident | when present | <u>t at a level</u> | 18 | expected that th | e skin corrosic | on/irritation of | an ingredient | |
| above the generic concentration cut- | -off values m | ientioned in | w | ill not be eviden | t when present | at a level abo | ve the generic | |
| <u>Tables 2.8.6.7 and 2.8.6.8, testing of</u> | t the mixture | may be | co | concentration cut-off values mentioned in Tables 3.2.3 | | | | |
| considered. In those cases the tiered | d weight of e | vidence | aı | and 3.2.4, testing of the mixture may be considered. In those | | | | |
| strategy should be applied as describ | bed in 2.8.4 a | and illustrated | cases the tiered weight of evidence strategy should be | | | | | |
| <u>in Figure 3.2.1.</u> | | | applied as described in 3.2.3 and illustrated in Figure 3.2.1. | | | | | |
| 2.8.6.6 If there are data showing that | at (an) ingred | lient(s) may be | 3.2.3.3.6 If there are data showing that (an) | | | | | |
| corrosive or irritant at a concentration | $\operatorname{con of} \ge 1\%$ (a | corrosive) or ≥ | ingredient(s) may be corrosive or irritant at a concentration | | | | | |
| 3% (irritant) , the mixture should be | classified ac | cordingly (see | of $\geq 1\%$ (corrosive) or $\geq 3\%$ (irritant), the mixture should be | | | | | |
| also Classification of hazardous sub | ostances and | <u>mixtures</u> | classified accordingly (see also Classification of hazardous | | | | | |
| Use of cut-off values/Concentration | limits (1.3.3 | .2)). | sı | substances and mixtures – Use of cut-off | | | | |
| | | | va | alues/Concentrat | ion limits (1.3 | .3.2)). | | |
| Table 2.8.6.7: Concentration of in | gredients of | <u>a mixture</u> | Т | able 3.2.3: Cond | entration of i | ngredients of | a mixture | |
| classified as skin-corrosive-Catego | ory 1, 2 or 3 | <u>that would</u> | cl | assified as skin | Category 1, 2 | or 3 that wou | ıld trigger | |
| trigger classification of the mixtur | re as hazard | <u>ous to skin</u> | cl | assification of t | he mixture as | hazardous to | skin | |
| (Category 1, 2 or 3) | | | ((| Category 1, 2 or | 3) | | 1 | |
| Sum of Concentration | triggering | | | Sum of | Concentrati | on t iggering | g | |
| ingredients classification o | of a mixture | as: | | ingredients | classification | n of a mixtur | e as: | |
| classified as: | Skin innitant | | | classified | Skin | Skin innitan | 4 | |
| | 78111 11 1 114111 | | | as: | SKII | Skill II I Itali | L | |
| | | | | | corrosive | | II | |
| Packing 9 | Category | Category | | | Category | Category | Category | |
| group 2 | 2 | <u>3</u> | | | 1 | 2 | 3 | |
| (see note | | | | | (see note | | | |
| below) | | | | | below) | | | |
| Packing > 5% | > 1% but < | | | Skin | > 5% | >1% but | | |
| $\left \begin{array}{c} \underline{group I, II or} \\ group I, II or$ | <u>5%</u> | | | Category 1 | <u>~</u> 570 | < 5% | | |
| | - | | | Slein | | > 100/ | > 10/ ht | |
| Skin | > 10% | > 1% but | | SKIN | | $\geq 10\%$ | $\geq 1\%$ Dut | |
| Catagory 2 | 1070 | < 1.00% | | Category 2 | | | < 10% | |
| | | <u>< 1070</u> | | Skin | | | $\geq 10\%$ | |
| Skin | | <u>≥ 10%</u> | | Category 3 | | | | |

| UN Model Regulations | | | | G | HS | | |
|---|--|--|---|--|---|---|---|
| C | CHAPTER 2.8 | | | CHAPTER 3.2 | | | |
| C | LASS 8 - CORRO | SIVE SUBSTANCES | | S | KIN CORROSIO | N/IRRITATION | |
| | <u>Category 3</u> <u>(10 × Skin</u> <u>Category 1)</u> ± <u>Skin</u> | <u>≥ 10%</u> | <u>≥ 1% but</u> <u>≤10%</u> | | (10 × Skin Category 1) + Ski Category 2 | ≥ 10% | $\geq 1\%$ but $\leq 10\%$ |
| | Category 2 (10 × Skin Category 1) ± Skin Category 2 + Ski Category 3 | | <u>≥ 10%</u> | | (10 × Skin Category 1) + Skin Category 2 + Skin Category 3 | | ≥ 10% |
| N | OTE: | | | N | OTE: | | |
| <u>(</u> :) <u>4</u> ≥ <u>4</u> <mark>N</mark> a | In case of use corrosive), The sum s skin Category IA, 5% in order to clas 5% in order to clas 5% in order to clas 5% in order to clas 6 W TEXT (withou lopting content of of 0 In case the su 1n case the su | e of the sub-categories to of all ingredients of a 1B or 1C respectively, ssify the mixture as eith t corresponding text in teleted text above) um of packing group 1 i hould be classified as p um of the skin Category | of skin Category 1 mixture classified should each be er skin Category GHS, but gGHS, but ngredients is ≥ 5% acking group I. -1A packing group | <i>Ir</i> (<i>a</i> . ≥ 1. | n case of use of the s corrosive), the sum s skin Category 1A, 5% in order to clas A, 1B or 1C. | sub-categories of skin of all ingredients of a 1B or 1C respectively ssify the mixture as eit | Category 1 mixture classified , should each be her skin Category |
| In clack the sum of the sum of skin Category <u>I ingredients is ≤ 5% but the sum of skin Category</u> <u>ingredients IA + IB</u> packing group I and II is ≥ 5%, the <u>mixture should be classified as skin Category IB</u> <u>packing group II.</u> <u>Similarly, in case the sum of skin Category</u> <u>I + IB</u> packing group I and II is ≤ 5% but the sum of | | | Ir bi m Si | n case the sum of th ut the sum of skin C iixture should be cla imilarly, in case the | e skin Category IA ing Category ingredients L assified as skin Catego e sum of skin Category | gredients is $\leq 5\%$ A+1B is $\geq 5\%$, the ory 1B. 1A + 1B is $\leq 5\%$ | |
| | Category 1A 5% the mixtu packing grou <u>In case at lea</u> <u>classified as</u> <u>mixture shou</u> <u>categorisatio</u> | <u>+ 1B + 1C</u> packing gro re would be classified o <u>p III</u> ast one relevant ingred Cat. 1 without sub-cate ld be classified as Cat. n | $\begin{array}{l} up \ l + 1l + 1ll \ is \geq \\ as \ Category \ lC. \\ \hline ient \ in \ a \ mixture \ is \\ \hline gorisation, \ the \\ \hline l \ without \ sub- \end{array}$ | bi W I ci | ut the sum of Categ rould be classified a n case at least one lassified as Cat. 1 w hould be classified d | ory $1A + 1B + 1C$ is \geq as Category 1C. relevant ingredient in without sub-categorisa as Cat 1 without sub-c | 5% the mixture a mixture is tion, the mixture ategorisation |
| Т | Table 2.8.6.8. Concentration of ingradients of a mixture for | | | 5/ T | able 3.2.4. Concer | ntration of ingredient | s of a mixture for |
| <u>w</u> ti | which the additivity approach does not apply, that would trigger classification of the mixture as hazardous to skin | | | w tr | hich the additivity | y approach does not a n of the mixture as ha | apply, that would azardous to skin |
| | Ingredient: | Concentration: | <u>Mixture</u> classified as: <u>Skin</u> | | Ingredient: | Concentration: | Mixture classified as: Skin |
| | $\frac{\text{Acid with } pH \leq}{2}$ | <u>≥ 1%</u> | Packing group II* | | Acid with pH ≤ 2 | ≥ 1% | Category 1 |

| UN Model Regulation CHAPTER 2.8 | ons | | 0 | GHS CHAPTER 3.2 | | | |
|---|---------------|-----------------------------|---|---|------|------------|--|
| CLASS 8 - CORROSIVE SUBSTANCES | | | S | SKIN CORROSION/IRRITATION | | | |
| $\frac{\text{Base with pH}}{\geq 11.5}$ | <u>≥1%</u> | Packing group <u>II*</u> | | Base with pH ≥ 11.5 | ≥1% | Category 1 | |
| Other corrosive (Category 1) ingredients | <u>≥1%</u> | Packing group II* | | Other corrosive (Category 1) ingredient | ≥1% | Category 1 | |
| Other irritant (Category 2/3) ingredient, including acids and bases | <u>>3%</u> | Category 2 | | Other irritant (Category 2/3) ingredient, including acids and bases | ≥ 3% | Category 2 | |

Annex II

Examples of mixtures with extreme pH-values, showing evidence not to be classified in Packing Group I

This annex provides examples for mixtures and solutions having an extreme pH-value which requires classification as corrosive to the skin based on the non-additive approach. By tests (example 1) or by the composition (examples 2, 3 and 4) it can be proved that assignment of Packing Group II provides a sufficient level of safety.

Example 1: Extreme pH-value but tested non-corrosive

The example 1 material is a solution having an extreme pH-value. The test results cited prove that the solution is neither corrosive nor irritant for skin or eye. These facts show that the assignment of Packing Group II according the proposed 2.8.4.4 provides a sufficient level of safety.

The solution is used in dental applications for:

- Cementation of inlays, onlays, crowns, and bridges made from metal or metalceramics or veneered with composite
- Cementation of inlays, onlays, crowns, and bridges made from composite or ceramics provided these are suitable for conventional cementing
- Cementation of pins and screws provided these are suitable for conventional cementing
- Cementation of orthodontic bands
- Linings (one part of a 2 component system, to be mixed with cement powder)

| Formulation | | | | |
|---------------------|-----------|---------|------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| Hazardous component | CAS no. | Content | Classification according GHS | Classification acc. 67/548/EEC |
| Water | 7732-18-5 | 50 - 65 | Not hazardous | Not hazardous |
| Polyacrylic acid | 9003-01-4 | 40 - 50 | Aqu. chron. 3, H412 | R52/53 |

| pH-value | Measured material: |
|-------------|--------------------|
| pH-value: 1 | 100 % product |

Tests

1. 2011 OECD 437 (BCOP-test (Bovine Corneal Opacity and Permeability-test):

<u>Conclusion</u>: The product did not induce ocular irritation based on mean opacity and permeability values of test article-treated corneas, resulting in a mean in vitro irritancy score of 0.3 after 10 minutes of treatment. Finally, it is concluded that this test is valid and that product is not severe irritant or corrosive in the Bovine Corneal Opacity and Permeability test under the experimental conditions described in this report.

Tests

2.

1995: The experimental procedure used was based on that recommended under Annex V Part B of Directive 79/831/EEC: Methods for the determination of toxicity. B4. Skin irritation and OECD Guideline for Testing of Chemicals No. 404 "Acute Dermal Irritation/Corrosion. (New Zealand White strain rabbits)

<u>Conclusion</u>: A single semi-occlusive application of DURELON liquid to intact rabbit skin for four hours elicited temporary, very slight or well-defined dermal irritation. DURELON liquid does not require labelling with the risk phrase R38 "Irritating to skin" as described in the EEC Directive 83/467/EEC Annex VI, Part II (D).

Information on the acid: Polyacrylic acid (CAS 9003-01-4) is not listed in Annex VI of the CLP-regulation. According to the C&L inventory the following different classifications have been notified (only regarding corrosion):

- 1. Not hazardous
- 2. Irritant (skin and eye cat 2)
- 3. Corrosive (skin cat 1A)
- 4. Corrosive (to metals cat 1)

Example 2: extreme pH-value, but without high corrosivity potential

The example material is a mixture having an extreme pH-value. But the ingredients causing the extreme pH are all non-corrosive except one component classified only Class 8 Packing Group III in high concentrated solutions, although the pH-value is more extreme than in the example material. This proves that the extreme pH is no sufficient indicator for skin corrosivity and that assignment of Packing Group II for such mixtures and solutions according the proposed 2.8.4.4 is justified.

Example 2: Cleaner for industrial application

| Formulation | | | | |
|--|------------|---------|--|---|
| Hazardous component | CAS no. | Content | Classification according GHS | Classification acc. 67/548/EEC |
| Alcohols, C12 C14, ethoxylated, sulfates, sodium salts | 68891-8-3 | 1 - 5% | Skin Irr. 2, H315 Eye Dam. 1, H318 | Xi, irritant, R38, R41 |
| Trissodium nitrilotriacetat | 5064-31-3 | 5 - 10% | Acute Tox. 4, H302 Eye Irr. , H319 Carc. 2, H351 | Carcinogenic, category 3, R40 Xi, irritant, R36 Xn, harmful, R22 |
| Fatty alcohol ethoxylate C10 iso5EO | 61827-42-7 | 1 - 5% | Acute Tox. 4, H302 Eye Dam. 1, H318 | Xi, irritant, R41 |
| Silicic acid, potassium salt, molar ratio $(SiO_2/K_2O) \le 1,6$ | 1312-76-1 | 1 - 3% | Skin Corr. 1B, H314 Eye Dam. 1, H318 | C, corrosive, R34 |

| pH-value | Measured material: | | | | |
|---------------------|------------------------------------|--|--|--|--|
| pH-value: 11,9 | 100 % product | | | | |
| pH-value: 10,5-11,5 | 1% solution in demineralized water | | | | |

Ingredients

All ingredients except the Silicic acid potassium salt are non-corrosive. The silicic acid potassium salt has to be considered corrosive in concentrations above 10 %. Even taking into consideration synergistic effects of the other components assignment of Packing Group I for a maximum content of 3 % is not justified.

Examples 3 and 4: extreme pH-value, but without corrosive ingredients

The example materials are solutions or mixtures having an extreme pH-value. But the ingredients causing the extreme pH are all non-corrosive according transport regulations, although the pH-value of the pure substance is more extreme than in the preparations. This proves that the extreme pH is no sufficient indicator for skin corrosivity and that assignment of Packing Group II for such mixtures and solutions according the proposed 2.8.4.4 is justified.

| Example 3: | Cleaner for | automotive |
|------------|--------------------|------------|
|------------|--------------------|------------|

| Formulation | | | | |
|-------------------------------|------------|----------|---|---|
| Hazardous component | CAS no. | Content | Classification according GHS | Classification acc. 67/548/EEC |
| Trissodium nitrilotriacetat | 5064-31-3 | 10 - 25% | Acute Tox. 4, H302 Eye Irr. 2, H319 Carc. 2, H351 | Carcinogenic, category 3, R40 Xi, irritant, R36 Xn, harmful, R22 |
| Isotridecanol, ethoxylated | 69011-36-5 | 1 - 5% | Acute Tox. 4, H302 Eye Dam. 1, H318 | Xi, irritant, R41 |
| Alcohols, C12-C14 ethoxylated | 68891-38-3 | 1 - 5% | Skin Irr. 2, H315 Eye Dam. 1, H318 | Xi, irritant, R38, R41 |

| pH-value | Measured material: | | | |
|-----------------------|--------------------------------------|--|--|--|
| pH-value: 11,3 – 12,7 | 10 % solution in demineralized water | | | |

Ingredients

All ingredients are non-corrosive. Even taking into consideration synergistic effects of the other components, the assignment of Packing Group I is not justified.

Example 4: Etching agents for metals

| Formulation | | | | |
|--------------------------------|----------|----------|--|--------------------------------|
| Hazardous component | CAS no. | Content | Classification according GHS | Classification acc. 67/548/EEC |
| oxalic acid | 144-62-7 | 60 - 80% | Acute Tox. 4, H302 Acute Tox. 4, H312 | Xn, harmful, R21/22 |
| Sodium3-nitrobenzenesulphonate | 127-68-4 | 1 - 5% | Skin Sens. 1, H317 Eye Irr. 2. , H319 | Xi, irritant, R36, R43 |

| pH-value | Measured material: | | | | |
|---------------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| pH-value: 1,1 – 1,8 | 1% product solved in demineralized water | | | | |

Ingredients

All ingredients are non-corrosive. Even taking into consideration synergistic effects of the other components, the assignment of Packing Group I is not justified.

Annex III

Overview of packing instructions for corrosive n.o.s. entries without subrisk

| LINI | Deserve | UN p | acking gr | oup I | UN p | acking gr | oup II | UN pa | acking gro | oup III | |
|------|---|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------------|-----------------|-----------------|--|-----------------|----------------------|----------------------|--|
| no. | name | Packa- gings | IBC | Tanks | Packa- gings | IBC | Tanks | Packa- gings | IBC | Tanks | |
| 1760 | Corrosive liquid, n.o.s. | P001 | | T14 (TP2, TP27) | P001 | IBC02 | T11 (TP2, TP27) | P001 | IBC03 LP01 | T7 (TP2) | |
| 1719 | Caustic alkali liquid, n.o.s. | PG | PG not assigned | | P001 | IBC02 | T11 (TP2, TP27) | P001 | IBC03 | T7 (TP1, TP28) | |
| 1740 | Hydrogendifluorides, solid, n.o.s. | PG | not assig | ned | P002 | IBC08 B2, B4 | T3 (TP33) | P002 | IBC08 B3 | T1 (TP33) | |
| 1759 | Corrosive solid, n.o.s. | P002 | IBC07 (B1) | T6 | P002 | IBC08 B2, B4 | T3 ((TP33) | P002 | IBC08 LP02, B3 | T1 (TP33) | |
| 1903 | Disinfectant, liquid, corrosive, n.o.s. | P001 | | | P001 | IBC02 | | P001 | IBC03 LP01 | | |
| 2735 | Amines, liquid, corrosive, n.o.s. | P001 | | T14 (TP2, | P001 | IBC02 | T11 (TP1, | P001 | IBC03 | T7 (TP1, | |
| 2801 | Dye, liquid, corrosive, n.o.s. | | | TP27) | | | TP27) | | LFUI | TP28) | |
| 2987 | Chlorosilanes, corrosive, n.o.s. | PG | not assig | ned | P010 | | T14 (TP2, TP7, TP13, TP27) | PG | PG not assigned | | |
| 3244 | Solids containing corrosive liquid, n.o.s. | PG | not assig | ned | P002 (PP9) | IBC05 | T3 (TP33) BK1, BK2 | PG not assigned | | | |
| 3147 | Dye, solid, corrosive, n.o.s. | | | | | | | | | | |
| 3259 | Amines, solid, corrosive, n.o.s. | | | | | | | | IBC08 | | |
| 3260 | Corrosive, solid, acidic, inorganic, n.o.s. | P002 | IBC07 B1 | T6 (TP33) | P002 | IBC08 B2, B4 | T3 (TP33) | P002 | LP02, B3 | T1 (TP33) | |
| 3261 | Corrosive, solid, acidic, organic, n.o.s. | | | | | | | | | | |
| 3262 | Corrosive solid, basic, inorganic, n.o.s. | | | | | | | | | | |
| 3263 | Corrosive solid, basic, organic, n.o.s. | | | | | | | | | | |

| UN | Deserve shinging | UN packing group I | | | UN packing group II | | | UN packing group III | | |
|------|---|--------------------|-----|----------------|---------------------|-------|----------------|----------------------|---------------|----------------|
| no. | name | Packa- gings | IBC | Tanks | Packa- gings | IBC | Tanks | Packa- gings | IBC | Tanks |
| 3264 | Corrosive liquid, acidic, inorganic, n.o.s. | | | T14 | | | T11 | | | Т7 |
| 3265 | Corrosive liquid, acidic, organic, n.o.s. | P001 | | (TP2, TP27) | P001 | IBC02 | (TP2, TP27) | P001 | IBC03 LP01 | (TP1, TP28) |
| 3266 | Corrosive liquid, basic, inorganic, n.o.s. | | | | | | | | | |
| 3267 | Corrosive liquid, basic, organic, n.o.s. | | | | | | | | | |