Informal document No. **GRB-51-22** (51st GRB, 15-17 February 2010, Agenda item 4(c))

PRESENTATION OF



INTERNATIONAL ORGANIZATION OF MOTOR VEHICLE MANUFACTURERS

Additional Sound Emission Provisions Analysis Information

51th GRB, February 2010

INTERNATIONAL ORGANIZATION OF MOTOR VEHICLE MANUFACTURERS

Situation

Proposal 1 as amended by GRB IG in May 09							Proposal 2 as presented by NL in GRB 50	
Limitation:							Limitation:	
multiple ideas to frame the discussion areas						ion areas	only one proposal from the Netherlands	
	OICA	КВА	I	RDW	J	Chair	proposal need the assumption	
Slope	7	6	6	?	?	5	of limit values for Annex 3 Method B, which are currently not available. NL assumed limits as elaborated by GRB Informal Group on Reg51 in 2005	
Edging	1,5	1	1	?	?	1		
Margin	3	2	3	?	?	1-2		
Bonus	?	?	52	?	+	+		
Report of IG Chairman focuses on these two parameters								

INTERNATIONAL ORGANIZATION OF MOTOR VEHICLE MANUFACTURERS

VEHICLES WITH PMR < 120 (Market Share 99% for EU14 based on Year 2007)



Additional Sound Emission Provisions (ASEP)

Feb. 2010

Page 3

INTERNATIONAL ORGANIZATION OF MOTOR VEHICLE MANUFACTURERS

VEHICLES WITH 120 < PMR < 200 (Market Share 1% for EU14 based on Year 2007)



Additional Sound Emission Provisions (ASEP)

Feb. 2010

Page 4

INTERNATIONAL ORGANIZATION OF MOTOR VEHICLE MANUFACTURERS

VEHICLES WITH PMR > 200

(Market Share less than 0.1% for EU14 based on Year 2007)



Additional Sound Emission Provisions (ASEP)

Feb. 2010

Page 5



CONCLUSIONS

- Vehicle technology show very big variety of sound behaviour.
- Thus it is very difficult to define an appropriate method.
- Proposal 1 (as amended by GRB Inf. Grp ASEP in May 2009) is the most accurate description of the any vehicle technology.
- The elaborated value range for the limitation parameter XYZ covers as well the proposal 2 of the Netherlands.
- Proposal 1 should be selected.