



**Economic and Social
Council**

Distr.
GENERAL

ECE/TRANS/WP.5/2009/5
3 July 2009

ENGLISH
Original: ENGLISH, FRENCH
AND RUSSIAN

ECONOMIC COMMISSION FOR EUROPE

INLAND TRANSPORT COMMITTEE

Working Party on Transport Trends and Economics

Twenty-second session
Geneva, 8-9 September 2009
Item 9 of the provisional agenda

BIENNIAL EVALUATION 2008-2009

Note by the secretariat

I. MANDATE

1. Following the request of the Commission to the Sectoral Committees of the UNECE to conduct biennial evaluations of their respective sub-programme performance for the 2008-2009 biennium, the Inland Transport Committee (ITC) endorsed at its session in February 2008 for each cluster of its activities an expected accomplishment, together with related indicators of achievement and measurement methodologies. In 2010, the Committee would be invited to review the draft accomplishment accounts prepared for each expected accomplishment by the secretariat, discuss the lessons learned and take programmatic measures, if appropriate.

2. One of the indicators of achievement chosen to evaluate the performance of the cluster 'Transport Trends and Economics' is defined as "Level of satisfaction of participants regarding usefulness of information, meetings and activities as reflected in surveys to be conducted" (Bureau Informal document No. 11, 2008, p. 4). The questionnaire attached will provide a valuable input to the estimation of this indicator. It should be completed by delegates at the end of the session and submitted to the secretariat.

**UNECE Working Party on Transport Trends and Economics
22nd session, Geneva, 8-9 September 2009**

QUESTIONNAIRE

In our efforts to keep improving this event, we would appreciate your views. Please complete the following short questionnaire and return it to the secretariat.

Name

Country

Position/Institution

1. Did the meeting meet your expectations?

2. If you answered "no", please elaborate

3. Do you have any comments on the meeting's agenda in terms of its

Content:

Format:

Other aspects:

4. Overall, do you think that the Meeting documents were:

relevant

relevant but too technical

relevant but too general

not at all relevant

5. Do you think that enough time was given for (please answer yes or no):

a) the presentations Yes No

b) the discussions Yes No

If you answered "no", please elaborate

.....

6. Do you think that the duration of the session should be:

Reduced

Increased

Kept as is

7. Do you think that the Meeting's agenda should be:

Shortened to exclude some of the current topics

Extended to include new topics

Kept as is

8. Did you find the Meeting well organized?

Yes

No

If you answered "no", please elaborate

9. What could be improved in the future meetings?

10. Any other comments?

- - - - -