PROPOSALS FOR AMENDMENTS TO ANNEXES A AND B OF ADR

Chapter 9.2

Speed limitation devices

Note by the secretariat

1. At the 81st session, the representative of Romania proposed to amend paragraphs 9.2.1 and 9.2.5 (INF.21 and INF.21/Rev.1) (See ECE/TRANS/WP.15/190, paras. 40-42). The secretariat was requested to check which amendments would be necessary, and it was agreed to revert to the matter at the next session.

2. The secretariat has checked the text of directives 92/6/EEC and 92/24/EEC and believes that the proposal to eliminate a reference to directive 92/6/EEC in footnote 6 to 9.2.5 is correct in the sense that directive 92/6/EEC does not contain technical requirements equivalent to those of ECE Regulation No.89.

3. Therefore, the following amendment proposed in INF.21/Rev.1 seems to be justified:


   (b) In footnote 6 to 9.2.5, delete the words “directive 92/6/EEC of the Council of 10 February 1992 (originally published in the Official Journal of the European Communities No. L 037 of 02.03.1992) and”

4. The representative of Romania also proposed a change to the title of ECE Regulation No.89 in informal document INF.21/Rev.1. This change corresponds to a change to the title of Regulation No.89, reflected in Amendment 1 to Regulation No.89 which entered into force on 12 August 2002, corresponding to the extension of the scope of the Regulation to adjustable speed limitation devices (ASLD). Since para 9.2.5 applies also to vehicles which were registered before the entry into force of the amendment, and since the text of 9.2.5 refers to Regulation No.89 “as amended”, the secretariat believes that there is no need to change the original title of Regulation No.89 in footnote 6.
5. The secretariat notes that, in the first indent of 9.2.1 concerning braking equipment, the words “(or which entered into service if the registration is not mandatory)” are included after the words “first registered”, but this is not the case in the second indent.

The Working Party may wish to consider whether these terms should also be included in the case of speed limitation devices (same remark for the column “Comments” of the table in 9.2.1, and for the transitional measure 1.6.5.9).