



**Economic and Social
Council**

Distr.
GENERAL

ECE/TRANS/WP.29/GRSG/2006/12
9 February 2006

Original: ENGLISH
ENGLISH AND FRENCH ONLY

ECONOMIC COMMISSION FOR EUROPE

INLAND TRANSPORT COMMITTEE

World Forum for Harmonization of Vehicle Regulations (WP.29)

Working Party on General Safety Provisions (GRSG)

(Ninetieth session, 24-28 April 2006,
agenda item 2.4.)

PROPOSAL FOR DRAFT AMENDMENTS TO REGULATION No. 46

(Devices for indirect vision)

Transmitted by the expert from the International Organization
of Motor Vehicle Manufacturers (OICA)

Note: The text reproduced below was prepared by the expert from OICA in order to clarify the definition of type of a device for indirect vision (TRANS/WP.29/GRSG/67, para. 28). The proposal is based on a document without an official symbol (informal document No. GRSG-89-12), distributed at the eighty-ninth session of GRSG (TRANS/WP.29/GRSG/68, para. 31). The modifications to the current text of the Regulation (up to the 02 series of amendments) are marked in **bold** or ~~strikethrough~~ characters.

Note: This document is distributed to the Experts on General Safety Provisions only.

A. PROPOSAL

Paragraph 2.1.4., amend to read:

"2.1.4. "Type of device for indirect vision" means devices that do not differ on the following essential characteristics:

- design of the device ~~inclusive, if pertinent, the attachment to the bodywork;~~
- in case of mirrors the class, the shape, the dimensions and radius of curvature of the mirror's reflecting surface;
- in case of camera-monitor devices the detection distance and the range of vision."

Paragraph 15.2.1.1.1., the table, the second column "Interior mirror Class I", the cells corresponding to the M₁ and N₁ vehicle categories, amend to read:

"

Optional

If the mirror does not provide rearward vision (**as defined in paragraph 15.2.4.1.**)"

B. JUSTIFICATION

Reference to paragraph 2.1.4.

Whilst the manufacturer needs to prove compliance by the mirror mounting (attachment) with the provisions of the Regulation, changes to the mounting should not be a reason for requiring a separate and new approval (and hence approval number) for each mounting variation.

Reference to paragraph 15.2.1.1.1.

An editorial clarification in order to align the "Optional" requirements with the "Compulsory" requirements for the same items.
