

Draft Report on Activities of WP29/ITS Informal Group

1. Activities up to Now
2. Exchange of Views on In-Vehicle ITS
 - 2.1 Reports from IHRA-ITS WG
 - 2.2 Reports from OICA, ASV, CLEPA
 - 2.3 Common Understanding for In-Vehicle ITS
3. Proposals to WP29
 - 3.1 Treatment of In-Vehicle ITS Technologies
 - 3.2 Role of ITS Informal Group

Annex A : Document Lists

Annex B : TOR

Draft Report on Activities of WP29/ITS Informal Group

1. Activities up to Now

With motor vehicles becoming more and more intelligent and informative, some of the In-Vehicle ITS technologies are now on the market. For instance, adaptive cruise control (ACC) systems to keep a preset distance with the car ahead, and collision-mitigation braking systems (CMBS) to reduce collision speed to mitigate damage are emerging from the development stage to the next stage of commercialization. It is hoped that these technologies will develop further, because they are expected to greatly contribute not only to the convenience and comfort of the driver but also to the improvement of traffic safety by reducing damage to drivers, passengers and pedestrians.

The issues to be addressed in relation to these technologies are, for example, that, if they are introduced into the market without appropriate safety consideration given to them, their future development may be hindered; that it is necessary to develop a common understanding on safety among countries concerning the regulation and certification of these technologies; and so on. We are now in a stage where it is essential for WP29 to make efforts to address these issues.

In view of such circumstances, WP29 organized an informal group in June 2002 and started preparations for the ITC/Round Table and, through such operations, developed its understanding of how to treat these technologies. As a result, at the ITC/Round Table held on February 18, 2004, WP29 recognized anew that it is important to keep discussing In-Vehicle ITS issues at WP29 and agreed to maintain the ITS Informal Group in future.

Based on this agreement at ITC/Round Table, discussion was continued and, at the meeting of the ITS Informal Group held in November 2004, terms of references (TOR) on the activities of the ITS Informal Group was agreed upon. The TOR positioned the ITS Informal Group as a group playing a strategic role at WP29 to (1) develop a common understanding of the driver assistance systems; (2) exchange information on technology trends related to In-Vehicle ITS, and (3) review its activities in the second year and report the results to WP29.

Accordingly, to address the above tasks including the development of a common understanding on In-Vehicle ITS, the ITS Informal Group started in March 2005 the activities shown below, which were scheduled for two years and mainly consisted in exchanging information and opinions. As a result, the group developed the following understanding that we report here to WP29 on our policy regarding driver assistance systems and how ITS should be treated at WP29: and reports it to WP29 as follows:

2. Exchange of Views on In-Vehicle ITS

What is important in discussing a common understanding of driver assistance systems is the point of view from which we should classify these technologies. In-Vehicle ITS technologies include those which support the driver by providing information for driving, those which improve the driver's comfort by optimizing his/her workload, and those which directly improve safety by warning the driver against crash or by mitigating damage. In some cases, negative effects deserve consideration. To achieve a common understanding, it is important that In-Vehicle ITS be treated in a comprehensive manner integrating the viewpoints related to these functions.

From this perspective, the presentation made by Dr. Hiramatsu(IHRA-ITS WG/JARI) at a meeting of the ITS Informal Group held in November 2004 was to a degree suggestive. It consisted in first modeling the driver's behavior in a basic sequence of recognition, judgment, and operation and then applying In-Vehicle ITS technologies of information presentation, warning, and control in response to each event. This provides a basic philosophy for the development of a common understanding upon on driver assistance systems (See Figures 1 and 2).

Further, at the meeting of ITS Informal Group held in March 2006, Dr. Hiramatsu showed how these technologies in information presentation, warning, and control are used to assist the driver according to the sequence of driving conditions including an crash. According to Dr. Hiramatsu (Figure 3), information presentation is provided made as a assistant technology under normal driving condition, warning as a assistant technology under critical condition, and control as a assistant technology under pre-crash as well as normal driving conditions.

Such a philosophy that different assistant technologies should be applied according to the driver's driving behavior and accident sequence seems suitable in understanding In-Vehicle ITS that looks rather complicated. In particular, applying In-Vehicle ITS technologies in response to the driver's sequence of recognition, judgment, and operation is useful in examining these In-Vehicle ITS assistant functions from the viewpoint of human machine interface(HMI). In the following chapters, In-Vehicle ITS will be discussed based on this philosophy.

2.1 Reports from IHRA-ITS WG

After the meeting of ITS Informal Group held in March 2005, we have received reports from organizations and associations on common understanding of driver assistance systems and current technologies. Three reports from the IHRA-ITS WG represented a large part of these reports and corresponded to the three stages of information presentation, warning, and control.

First, about information presentation, Dr. Gelau (IHRA-ITS WG/BASt) reported on the European Statement of Principles(ESoP) that prescribed the HMI requirements for onboard information systems, stating that, in Europe, the ESoP was used as voluntary standard by each member country and automaker. The report also referred to the AAM guideline used in North America and the JAMA guideline in Japan as almost equivalent

guidelines used as voluntary standards in Japan, indicating that in these guidelines included the provisions on design objectives, location of installation, principle of information presentation, interaction with displays and controls, system behavior, and information about the system. In relation to this subject, Canada delivered a status report that the government and the automotive industry would be set out memorandum of understanding(MOU) to limiting driver distraction from vehicle telematics devices and negotiations are underway to reach an agreement.

Next, about warning, Dr. Burns (IHRA-ITS WG/Transport Canada) summarized current past studies of warning systems conducted by countries and organizations, indicating that they have had studied various ways to prompt the driver by auditory, visual, and/or haptic means to take necessary action in a critical condition, but, to date, they have had not set out any guidelines on these systems. In relation to this subject, OICA reported at the ninth meeting of the ITS Informal Group on lane departure warning system (LDWS). During discussion, some members expressed their concern about the driver's confusion that might be caused when he/she was given more than one warning at the same time and stated that a certain guideline was necessary to prevent such problem. Dr. Burns also indicated that the IHRA-ITS WG plans to (1) develop a warning guide by 2007, and (2) develop assessment procedures and performance criteria by 2010.

In terms of control systems, Dr. Hiramatsu gave his opinion that, concerning control under normal driving condition, the idea of "the "Driver in the Loop" was important. The idea of "the "Driver in the Loop" says that, under normal driving condition, the driver should be involved in driving in a way or other. In-Vehicle ITS is only a system to assist, and not to replace the driver. This means that the driver should be inevitably responsible for his/her driving. As future subjects of study at IHRA, Dr. Hiramatsu cited three points: (1) presence (or absence) of driver operation in car driving; (2) transition of control behavior from system to human driver; and (3) driver override.

Other than control under normal driving condition, Dr. Hiramatsu referred to control in pre-crash condition, but this is the subject covered by the report from Mr. Fujii of ASV project to be discussed later.

2.2 Reports from OICA, ASV, CLEPA

We have received so far three reports from other organizations and associations: a report from OICA on lane departure warning system(LDWS), a report from Advanced Safety Vehicles(ASV) on Collision-Mitigation Braking Systems (CMBS), and a report from CLEPA on sensor technologies to be used under pre-crash condition.

First, Mr. Christophe(PSA) of OICA reported on a system, adopted by some vehicles on the market as option, that detects lanes with infrared sensors and warns the driver as soon as he drifts from his/her lane by vibrating the seat. In discussion, some members expressed their concern about the confusion that might be caused among drivers by the presence of various types of warning systems on the market, stating that a certain international harmonization was necessary.

Next, Mr. Fujii(JARI) of ASV reported on collision-mitigation braking systems activated under pre-crash condition. Mr. Fujii first explained the concept of driver assistance, which represent the basic philosophy of ASV. A CMBS developed based on this philosophy activates the brakes automatically when collision is no longer avoidable so that the damage of the driver, passengers, and pedestrians is limited to the minimum. The CMBS gives its warning just before the collision becomes unavoidable. The warning informs the driver not only of the imminent maneuvers to avoid the critical condition but of the following activation of the automatic brakes. To a question of whether the driver actually could prepare him/herself upon such short-notice warning given just before collision, an explanation was given that the system is activated in a stage where collision is no longer avoidable, in such a stage, damage mitigation effect is noticeable. Discussion arose also as to whether the driver should be given the possibility to override the system when it is activated. The conclusion was that there is hardly room for the driver to override the CMBS, because under pre-crash condition where collision is no longer avoidable, there is hardly possibility for him/her to maneuver the vehicle in a safer direction.

Further, Mr. Halland(AUTOLIV) of CLEPA reported on integrated safety systems to be activated under pre-crash condition, indicating that CMBS and advanced air-bag systems would be effective as systems automatically activated under such condition. The import of the report regarding CMBS was mostly the same as that of the report of ASV mentioned earlier. It stated that, under condition where collision is no longer avoidable, it is effective to reduce collision speed by automatic brakes. As to advanced air-bag systems, it was of opinion that, by deploying air bags before collision, important effect might be expected on damage mitigating even in high-speed collisions. The report mentioned that the recognition of obstacles was important in both systems and hoped for further development of sensor technologies.

2.3 Common Understanding for In-Vehicle ITS

Common understanding for treatment of In-Vehicle ITS as shown above may be summarized as follows:

- Some of the In-Vehicle ITS technologies have already been commercialized. As shown in Table 1, the typical examples include navigation systems in the field of information presentation, forward collision warning system(FCWS) and LDWS in the field of warning systems, ACC in the field of control under normal driving condition, and CMBS in the field of control under pre-crash condition.
- Classifying In-Vehicle ITS technologies according to the driver's behavior and the sequence of driving conditions including crash is effective in developing a common understanding of In-Vehicle ITS. That is to say, they may be classified according to the sequence of driving conditions: assistance by information presentation and control under normal driving condition; assistance by warning under critical condition, and assistance by control under pre-crash condition.
- As to information presentation, each region has set their own guideline on these systems for concern that excessive information presented visually and/or auditory during driving might distract the driver's attention and authorizes the use of these systems based on such

guideline and on a self-commitment basis.

- As to warning systems, we have not yet any common policy widely shared. Meanwhile, there is concern about confusion that might be caused among drivers by the presence of various types of warning systems on the market. It is hoped that a certain method for quantitatively evaluating these systems will be developed based on knowledge of HMI and in such a manner not to hinder advances in technologies. The IHRA-ITS WG currently studies the possibility of conducting part of their activities in such direction.

- In the field of control under normal driving condition, it seems appropriate to base it on the idea of the “Driver in the Loop,” which means that the driver should be involved in driving operation in a way or other. Although IHRA-ITS WG has not advanced so much in quantitative approach, it has identified the following as subjects of study: (1) (1) presence (or absence) of driver operation in car driving; (2) transition of control behavior from system to human driver; and (3) driver override.

- As to control under pre-crash condition, it is understood that such control is effective as damage-mitigation technology in circumstances where collision is no longer avoidable.

3. Proposals to WP29

3.1 Treatment of In-Vehicle ITS Technologies

3.1.1 Information Presentation Technologies

As to information presentation technologies, we estimate that the major tasks to be addressed are the performance requirements related to distraction, including HMI requirements. Currently, these systems are treated by each country and region on a self-commitment basis, but the above performance requirements are mostly qualitative requirements based on human-centered design related to distraction. Considering such situation, in particular the fact that, in countries where the self-commitment principle is working, some of the information presentation systems installed on vehicles maintain a certain range of performance, we recommend that WP29 keep monitoring the situation for the time being.

3.1.2 Warning Systems

As to warning systems designed to avoid accidents, we have not yet any common philosophy or tasks widely shared, but sorting out our views and identifying future tasks timely is meaningful in finding out how these systems should be treated from the viewpoint of safety. Such work should include HMI requirements, such as easiness to understand or recognize the warning. We recommend that WP29 maintain its cooperation with IHRA, which currently studies these subjects, and, as soon as they give their output, study how to treat these systems.

3.1.3 Driver Assistance Systems by Partial Control

As to In-Vehicle ITS in the field of control under normal driving condition, various systems have been introduced into the market to improve the comfort and reduce the workload of the driver. Meanwhile, from control systems to mitigate crash severity to be

activated under pre-crash condition, we can expect a significant effect on damage mitigation. Some of the performance requirements such as recognition of the vehicle ahead and other obstacles might be addressed in the years to come.

As to these control technologies, consideration should be given to the fact that the reliability of these systems is not yet complete, as shown in the limitations of sensors, and that many people think that basically the driver must be responsible for driving. We assume therefore that the current systems are not developed and used as completely automatic systems, but as control systems to partially assist the driver.

We recommend that WP29 have the following understanding of these systems and base its future consideration of such systems on such understanding:

- The control systems activated under normal driving or pre-crash conditions should be designed in principle as systems in which driver is always held responsible for his/her driving. There has not been established yet quantitative standard for such requirements, but at least the following consideration is effective:

- Installation of auditory or visual announcement devices that provides the driver with necessary information on the system functioning.

- The control systems activated under normal driving condition should be designed in principle based on the “Driver in the Loop” philosophy, where driver should be involved in driving in a way or other. There has not been established yet quantitative criteria for such requirements, but at least the following consideration is effective:

- (Auditory or visual) announcement made when the driving initiative is transferred from the system to the driver.

- The driver should be kept involved in driving operation. For example, the starting initiative should not be given to the system.

- Systems in principle allowing for switching on and off by the driver

- Systems allowing for overriding by the driver

- Control systems activated under pre-crash condition to reduce collision speed promise a significant effect on damage mitigation. However, when control is activated in a stage where collision is no longer avoidable, there is no room for the necessity of overriding and the driver is not very likely to depend on the system.

3.2 Role of ITS Informal Group

In the two years after the establishment of TOR, the ITS Informal Group has collected information on current technologies and, based on the results of such research, it studied a common understanding on driver assistance systems and the role of the ITS Informal Group. The results of such study were as follows:

- The functions of In-Vehicle ITS are discussed at corresponding GR to the extent that there are existing regulations. However, in the fields not covered by GR or on subjects requiring strategic examination at ITS such as discussion on understanding mentioned in

3.1 above, the ITS Informal Group should play its necessary role.

- In cases where it is deemed necessary at WP29 or GR, or where In-Vehicle ITS is discussed between more than one GR, and so on, the ITS Informal Group should play its necessary role.

- Currently, technological basis is not yet sufficiently mature to establish particular regulations or common quantitative guidelines on In-Vehicle ITS functions. For the time being, it would be appropriate to take necessary actions based on the understanding summarized in this report. However, in future, with the development of more quantitative understanding or other conditions, if proposed by a member country of WP29, the ITS Informal group should play the role of rule maker on In-Vehicle ITS or equivalent role.

To play the above roles, it is preferable to maintain the ITS Informal Group and to conduct its meeting about once a year regularly. In particular, as to the status of the studies on HMI, it is hoped that WP29 will be able to get information as necessary in coordination with the IHRA-ITS WG.




Figure 1 Block Diagram for Conventional and Advanced Driving




Figure 2 Behavioral Model of a Driver and Level of Driver Assistance




Figure 3 Driver Assistance according to Sequence of Driving Condition

Table 1 Examples of In-Vehicle ITS Systems in the Market

Names of the systems are tentatively given in the table.

Assistant level		Function	Examples
Recognition assistance	Information presentation	Information provided to the driver for route guidance, for notification of the unforeseeable events on the road as well as enhancement of visibility etc.	Navigation system Information on curving roads Night vision, etc.
Judgment assistance	Warning	A signal informing the driver of a hazardous situation, which if not corrected by an immediate action, will result in equipment damage and/or personal injury	FCWS LDWS SOWS Night pedestrian warning Unsteady driving warning, etc.
Operation assistance	Control	Automatic control for pre-crash situation to mitigate crash severity as well as control for normal driving to improve convenience	CMBS ACC LKA LSF Parking assist Navi-cooperative shift, etc.

SYSTEMS

ACC: Adaptive Cruise Control

CMBS: forward Collision damage Mitigation Braking System

FCWS: Forward Collision Warning System

LDWS: Lane Departure Warning System

LKA: Lane Keeping Assist system

LSF: Low Speed Following

SOWS: Side Obstacle Warning System

VICS: Vehicle Information and Communication System

Annex A: Document Lists

- 1) K. Wani, Overview of the Past and Future Work of WP29, MLIT, ITS/RT, February 18, 2004.
- 2) C. Fuss, ITS Driver Assistance Systems, BMW, ITS/RT, February 18, 2004.
- 3) V. Wiber, Driver Focus : A North American Perspective, AAM, ITS/RT, February 18, 2004.
- 4) A. Iihoshi, Driver Assistance System : Lane Keep Assist System, JAMA, ITS/RT, February 18, 2004.
- 5) I. Noy, Harmonized Research on ITS, IHRA-ITS WG/TC, ITS/RT, February 18, 2004.
- 6) K. Hiramatsu, A Note for Common Understanding in Advanced Systems, IHRA-ITS WG/JARI, 8th-ITS Informal Group Meeting/WP29-134th, November 19, 2004.
- 7) J. Christophe, Lane Departure Warning System Developed by PSA Peugeot Citroen, OICA, 9th-ITS Informal Group Meeting/WP29-135th, March 11, 2005.
- 8) T. Fujii, Forward Collision Damage Mitigation Braking Systems on ASV, ASV/JARI, 10th-ITS Informal Group Meeting/WP29-136th, June 24, 2005.
- 9) C. Gelau, Recent Developments of the “European Statement of Principles on HMI”, IHRA-ITS WG/BASt, 10th-ITS Informal Group Meeting/WP29-136th, June 24, 2005.
- 10) P. Burns, ITS Warnings: Design and Performance Considerations, IHRA-ITS WG/TC, 11th-ITS Informal Group Meeting/WP29-137th, November 18, 2005.
- 11) Y. Haland, Integrated Safety by Pre-Crash Triggering, CLEPA/Autoliv, 11th-ITS Informal Group Meeting/WP29-137th, November 18, 2005.
- 12) K. Hiramatsu, The Idea of “Driver in the Loop” in Advanced Driver Assistance Systems, IHRA-ITS WG/JARI, 12th-ITS Informal Group Meeting/WP29-138th, March 10, 2006.

Terms of Reference of WP29/ITS Informal Group

Transmitted by the representative of Japan

1. Introduction

As a result of efforts to equip motor vehicles with artificial intelligence and information, some advanced technologies for in-vehicle Intelligent Transport Systems ("ITS") were introduced into the automobile market. The acceleration of widespread use of these technologies was desired, because they would not only contribute vehicles convenience but also bring enhanced safety into road traffic.

If used without appropriate safety considerations, however, in-vehicle ITS technologies might be rejected by the market before their full development, and it was necessary to achieve among stakeholder countries a common understanding of possible regulations and certification procedures for these technologies. There were increasing expectations that the WP29 take the initiative in the building of such a consensus.

In response the WP29 established its ITS Informal Group in June 2002, began preparation for the ITC Roundtable, and deepened its understanding of in-vehicle ITS issues. Consequently at the ITC Roundtable meeting of 18 February 2004, WP29 member and organizations reconfirmed the importance of discussing in-vehicle ITS issues at the WP29 and agreed to continue the ITS Informal Group activity.

2. Role of the ITS Informal Group

The ITS Informal Group assumes the role of a "strategic group" who, for supporting the development of new technologies for enhancing safety, works to expand the knowledge of these technologies, develops a common understanding of them, discusses the course of their handling in the regulatory framework if necessary, and reports the discussion results to the WP29.

3. Understanding on the ITS Informal Group's Discussion

(1) Scope

The technologies to be discussed by the WP29/ITS Informal Group are In-vehicle Intelligent Transport Systems (ITS) which are on-board systems for safety that utilize information that is received from direct sensing and/or telecommunications via the road infrastructure or other source.

In conducting its discussion, the Informal Group observes the following understanding concerning the above-specified ITS:

- It is important to emphasize that certain ITS applications use advanced technologies to provide in-vehicle support for reducing the number of crashes and attendant

injuries and deaths. Other ITS applications provide in-vehicle information for purposes other than improved safety. Whatever the primary function is, both types of ITS applications can have important unintentional influences on safety (positive and negative.)

In addition, since there are strong expectations for ITS contributions to the enhancement of vehicle safety, the following understanding is necessary at the same time.

- Certain areas of systems are expected to be discussed primarily for enhancing safety of the vehicles. They include systems that use advanced technologies for enhancing safety, and that advise/warn, and/or assist the driver with the purpose of vehicle functions and performance in driving.

In relation to the function of in-vehicle ITS for safety enhancement, the extent of system's assistance to driver's control is an important issue to be deliberated including how far the "assist" can be extended and what is the relation with "substitution." Such discussion can be based on certain actual in-vehicle ITS systems. (Please refer to Attachment 1 for the schematic of a driving assistance system.)

(2) Points to be considered

Bearing in mind that the purpose of the ITS Informal Group will include the support of development of advanced technologies for safety enhancement, and that these technologies are still at the course of their future development, the ITS Informal Group recognize to consider the following points:

- The introduction of ITS into market shall not be hindered as far as there are no clear problems on safety.
- For encouraging introduction of ITS, role of governments in the area of safety should be considered. Such role of governments may include followings.
 - * If current regulations are holding back ITS from market, revisions should be studied.
 - * If necessary, methodologies should be developed and applied for assessing the safety impact, estimation of effectiveness and potential safety degradation.
- Also, role of industries and other means than regulations on vehicle construction should be considered (ex. civil law, industry's guidelines).
- It is preferable to get a common understanding on advanced technologies considering the above-mentioned role of governments among members.

Because in-vehicle ITS involves sophisticated technologies for warning or assisting the driver, the following special considerations are important in discussing the future course of in-vehicle ITS:

- It is important to deal with the issues from a view point of HMI and an aspect of the

driver's responsibility is duly taken into account.

- In-vehicle ITS is a newborn subject matter appearing in the WP29's agenda, and it is still difficult to predict the future course of ITS development. Accordingly, the ITS Informal Group should discuss general issues characteristic of advanced technologies and unsuitable for GRs, receiving guidance from WP29 and working in concert with GRs whenever necessary.

4. Current Situation of ITS related Activities

Concerning the new technologies included within the scope of the ITS Informal Group, attempts were made to collect information on the research and development projects, guideline formulation, and standardization and regulation discussions conducted in various countries (see Attachments 2 to 4). This information was collected to help determine the role of the WP29 and plan efficient information collection and other activities of the WP29 related to in-vehicle ITS. These schematic was produced by Japanese experts, reflecting the opinions expressed at the meetings of the ITS Informal Group till now and the ITC Roundtable of 18 February 2004.

Attachment 2 lists up the in-vehicle ITS projects in the various regions of the world. Attachment 3 introduces the categorization of in-vehicle ITS systems and their negative aspects. Attachment 4 identifies the negative factors examined in regional projects.

According to the information collected on various negative aspects(Attachment 4), guidelines on "distraction" have already been established in some regions. Although manufacturers' voluntary effort is the central approach to the issue of "reliability", there are brake by-wires and some other individual items beginning to be discussed for incorporation into ECE Regulations.

On the other hand, regarding "overtrust", "lack of trust" and "misunderstanding", attempts to qualitatively understand the concepts and organize policy are beginning in various regions. These negative aspects are issues related to driving assistance, which is one of HMI aspects (Attachment 3). What is important is to share the knowledge obtained from various projects and regions and refine it into a common understanding of issues related to driving assistance within the WP29.

Additionally, developments in in-vehicle ITS needs to be constantly monitored, and information needs to be exchanged on new ITS technologies with attention to relationships between regulations and a new ITS technology.

While IHRA is conducting research activities aiming at the harmonization of regulations and while ISO is working to formulate international technical standards, the ITS Informal Group may try to collaborate with these two organizations in striving to achieve its tasks.

5. Work Plan

Assuming the WP29/ITS Informal Group to found its activities on the Understandings on

the ITS Informal Group's Discussion defined in section 3 and on the Current Situation of ITS related Activities in section 4, the work plan below has been set for the ITS Informal Group.

(1) Short-term Tasks

1) A common understanding of driving assistance

The ITS Informal Group will identify and discuss points for ensuring the safety of various driving assistance systems. Although this discussion will not be aimed at formulating technical requirements or guidelines, it will be targeted at the establishment of a common understanding that will provide basic concepts for handling new in-vehicle ITS technologies in each country. This discussion will be terminated in two years from the accepting this TOR.

2) Information exchange

Information concerning in-vehicle ITS will be exchanged. If proposals are made to examine the conflict between a new in-vehicle ITS technology and an existing regulation, direction to dissolving the conflict will be discussed and the results of the discussion will be reported to the WP29.

(2) Medium-term Tasks

After completing the above short-term tasks, the WP29 will decide activities for the ITS Informal Group or, if the Group is deemed to have completed its mission, will discuss other approaches to addressing in-vehicle ITS issues.

Driving Assistance

In driving a motor vehicle, it is the driver who observes the surroundings and the running condition of his/her vehicle, while making judgments for appropriate driving responses and operating the steering wheel, acceleration pedal and brake accordingly in the conventional driving system (Figure 1).

This driving system may be supported by a separate "driving assistance system" designed to assist part of the driver's recognition, decision-making and control by utilizing advanced technologies. The driving assistance including assistance for control should be distinguished from the driver "complete substitution" which means to take over the whole driver's functions.

Various research institutes are engaged in studies on the form, extent, timing, and other elements of appropriate driver assist. While some types of driver assist systems are already in practical use on vehicles, as a whole they are still at their developmental stage. Consequently it is good time for countries and regions to deepen their understandings of desirable technologies for driving assistance.




Figure 1 Block Diagram of Car Driving

