ECONOMIC COMMISSION FOR EUROPE INLAND TRANSPORT COMMITTEE

Joint Meeting of the RID Safety Committee and the Working Party on the Transport of Dangerous Goods (Geneva, 11-15 September 2006)

Agenda item 9

OTHER BUSINESS

Information on work in progress in CEN (ECE/TRANS/WP.15/AC.1/2006/25)

Note by the secretariat

- 1. Reference is made to document ECE/TRANS/WP.15/AC.1/2006/25.
- 2. The secretariat reproduces hereafter Appendix 2 to the above-mentioned document, which contains the form to be used for sending comments on standards which are at the steps 2, 3 and 4 of the approval process to the CEN consultant at wolfs@airproducts.com.

Standards Working Group of the Joint Meeting ADR/RID 7th meeting, 11-13 September 2006, Geneva

Comments on standards submitted by CEN before the meeting

A. Standards at Stage 2: Submitted for Public Enquiry

Dispatch from CEN dated 27 April 2006

Reference	Title of document	Where to refer in ADR/RID	Applicable sub-sections and paragraphs
	Transportable gas cylinders – Refillable welded receptacles of a capacity not exceeding 150 litres – Part 1: Welded carbon steel cylinders made to a design justified by experimental methods		

Comments from members of the Joint Meeting:

Country	Clause No./	Comment (justification for change)	Proposed change	Comment from CEN Consultant	Comment from WG Standards

Reference	Title of document	Where to ADR/RID	refer	Applicable sections paragraphs	sub- and
PrEN 15507	Packaging -Transport packaging for dangerous goods – Comparative material testing of polyethylene grades	6.2.2		•	

Country	Clause No./	Comment (justification for change)	Proposed change	Comment from CEN Consultant	Comment from WG Standards
				This standard should not be a candidate for reference in ADR/RID	

Reference				-	Applicable sub- sections and paragraphs
PrEN 1111		O DIS	Gas cylinders - Valve protection caps and valve guards - Design, construction and tests	4.1.6.14	

Comments from members of the Joint Meeting:

Country	Clause No./	Comment (justification for change)	Proposed change	Comment from CEN Consultant	Comment from WG Standards

Dispatch from CEN dated end of June 2006

F	Referen	ce	Title of document	Where to ADR/RID	refer in	Applicable sections paragraphs	sub- and
	PrEN 7866	ISO	Gas cylinders - Refillable seamless aluminium alloy gas cylinders - Design, construction and testing	6.2.2 and 6	.2.5		

page 3

Country	Clause No./	Comment (justification for change)	Proposed change	Comment from CEN Consultant	Comment from WG Standards

B. Standards at Stage 3: Submitted for Final Voting

Dispatch from CEN dated December 21, 2005

Reference		Applicable subsections and paragraphs
prEN 14512	Tanks for the transport of dangerous goods – Tank equipment for the transport of liquid chemicals - Hinged manhole covers and neckrings with pivoting bolts	6.8.2.2.1

Country	Clause No./	Comment (justification for change)	Proposed change	Comment from CEN Consultant	Comment from WG Standards
FIN		This standard needs to be clarified especially pressure tanks by means of design (calculation) in maximum working pressure. E.g. UN 2031 group I, for tank code L10BH. Design pressure and maximum working pressure 0,5 bar (According to ADR (1.2 "Shell" means the substance (including the openings and their claneckring and the closing system shall design (cashell where it will be installed. In some cases pressure of shell differs and the 1,3 * MWP (shifted the test pressure of the shell. The idea of this standard is that the 1,3 * MWP is at least equal to test pressure of shell (ptest in of view it would be more unambiguous to change 1,3 * MWP in clause 7.2 and 8.3 to ptest of the sthe relevant regulation. Add 3.1 MWP:operated, maximum test pressures	(manhole cover system) EN 14025). In that point e: hell, which is taken from	Could be added as a note to the reference	
FIN	1	Same as it in EN 14025	Amendment of word pressure:for use on transportable pressure tanks with a minimum	editorial	

FIN	3	Same definition as in EN 14025 clause 3.1 definition	Amendment of paragraph: For the purpose of this standard the term "pressure-tank" means a tank as defined in the international regulations for the transport of dangerous goods by road or rail having a maximum working pressure or a test pressure exceeding 50 kPa (0,5 bar)	Is it not already covered by the scope	
FIN	10.1	It should be mentioned also the design pressure if it differs from the test pressure.	Amendment: design pressure	Technical comment Is the test pressure of the tank and the MWP of the cover not sufficient?	
FIN	A2	According to this clause the hydraulic pressure test for empirical approval method for UN 2031 tank (L10BH) can be made by smaller pressure than it is required for the design pressure in the relevant regulation. 4 bar/1,3 * 2,25 = 6,92 bar This could not be accepted. The proposed raised the test pressure to 17,3 bar. Old design method for the pressure vessels (bursting pressure method) to define MWP gives for required bursting pressure: 3 bar (MWP) => about 2530 bar 7,7 bar (MWP) => about 6570 bar	Change:with a pressure equal to a minimum of 1,73*design pressure of the shell and cycled,	design pressure of a tank is not defined but calculation pressure, MWP and test pressure	

D	7.2 and 8.3	Why is there mentioned the leak rate B and not A for the pressure test (for liquid chemicals)? In the former version (2002) there was a leak rate A in the standard. We want to have the same safety level as in EN 13317 (Manhole cover assembly) for EN 14512 (liquid chemicals). In EN 13317 there is mentioned for the pressure test the lower leak rate A.	EN 13317 specifies Rate B after the impact test for type testing; rate A for production testing	
NL	unsafe construction For instance in R could be accepted In the Netherland regulation. In this up to 300mm. 6 MAWP is lower the bolds fails in Also a technical of the gasket is now. The previous draft this vote the standard enquiry.	the standard does not give enough details or is to "open" in requirements to ensure that safe constructions are not allowed. In instance in RID the manlid covers with one bold are now prohibited, in this standard it study be accepted. The Netherlands, parts of ADR which are not precise enough are interpreted a national gulation. In this regulation a minimum number of bold is prescribed (3 for inspection lids to 300mm. 6 bolds for manhole covers with a MAWP of 3 bar and higher and 4 bolds if AWP is lower than 3 bars). This is for a safety reason to limit the consequences if one of e bolds fails in use. So a technical detail like that the hinge should be designed to compensate compression of the gasket is now deleted in comparison to the previous draft. The previous draft was of a terrible quality although being forwarded for formal vote. After its vote the standard is extensively changed and not gone through a new round of public		
NL	Scope	should read "hinged manhole covers and inspection lids	Technical comment	
NL	3.2	"hydraulic test" should read "hydraulic pressure test" to be in line with ADR/RID		
NL	5.2.1	A manhole cover and neck ring should be designed to withstand a test pressure and a working pressure at elevated temperature if the temperature range is outside -20 and +50 degrees C. 265 kPa is not a commonly used pressure in ADR/RID.	Technical comment 2.65 bar is mentioned in 6.8.2.4.1	ď.

NL	5.2.2	in the first sentence the term "clamping points" is used. In the second sentence it is "pivoting bolds or clamping points". There used to be a design with pivoting handles with an excenter mechanism to close the cover, which the Netherlands do not accept for safety reasons. This design fits in this standard, the standard is not clear enough here.	Technical comment	
NL	5.2.5	Unclear is what the safety device should do, is it to relieve pressure prior to actually being able to open the lid?	Technical comment	
NL	5.2.x	Parts of the bolds which protrudes over the man lid, and which can cause opening of the cover when overturning should be so constructed that these parts brake off, by adding breaking points in the construction.	Technical comment	
NL	5.2.x	The manhole cover is part of the shell of a tank. The same material properties and minimum thickness shall apply.	See 5.3.2 with link to EN 14025	
NL	7.1	It is not clear what deviations are allowed to be of the same design. (see also EN 14433 annex B)	To be specified in the type approval	
NL	7.2	ADR/RID works with fixed test pressure for categories of tanks. Test pressure should be 1.5, 2,65 (hardly used in ADR),4 bars or 10 bars at ambient temperatures.	See proposal from Finland above	
NL	8.2	rate B at MAWP is far too much, taken into account the nominal diameter. The problem is obviously that there is nothing between rate A (no leakage) and rate B. Rate B for smaller diameters could be acceptable but not for this application. When new the covers should seal tightly at MAWP and at testpressure as they do at this moment, taking into account increase in leakage because wear and tear in use.	To be discussed: change to rate A for production testing?	
NL	10.1	"Product" should be "substance"	editorial	
NL	Annex A	The type test should cover all circumstances of use. If the working temperature range is outside -20 to 50 degrees C it should not be part of a production test.	Already covered in 7.1	

Decision of the Standards Working Group: Accepted: □ Refused: □]	Comme	nts: Not disc	ussed due	e to lac	ck of time
Dispatch from CE	N dat	ed 27 A _l	pril 2006							
Reference Title of document					Where to refer in Applicable ADR/RID paragraphs					
EN 14140:2003/prA1 LPG equipment and accessories - Transportable refillable welded steel cylinders for LPG - Alternative design and construction 6.2							6.2.2			
Comments from m	nembe	ers of the	e Joint Meeting:						•	
Country C	lause No./		o./ Comment (justification for change)		Proposed change		Comment from		m	Comment from
,						CEN Consulta		ant	WG Standards	
Decision of the Sta	andar	ds Work	ing Group:	Accepted: Refused:]	Comme	nts:			
Reference Title of document						Where to ADR/RID	refer in		licable sub-sections and graphs	
EN 13317:2002/A1 Tanks for transport of dangerous goods - Service equipment for tanks - Manhole cover assembly					6.8.2.6					

Comments from members of the Joint Meeting:									
Country	Clause No./	Comment (justification for change)		Propose	ed change	Comment from CEN Consultant	Comment from WG Standards		
Decision of the Standards Working Group:			Accepted: Refused:		Comments:				

Reference	Title of document	A D.D./D.ID	Applicable sub-sections and paragraphs
prEN ISO 7225	Gas cylinders - Precautionary labels (ISO 7225: 2005)	5.2.2.1.2	Francisco Pro-

Country	Clause No./	Comment (justif	ication for change)	Propose	d change	Comment from CEN Consultant	Comment from WG Standards
Decision of the	Decision of the Standards Working Group: Accepted: ☐ Refused:						

Dispatch from CEN dated end of June 2006

Reference	Title of document	Where to refer in	Applicable sub-sections and
		ADR/RID	paragraphs
PrEN14876	Transportable gas - Periodic inspection and testing of welded steel pressure	6.2.2	
	drums		

Comments from members of the Joint Meeting:

Country	Clause No./	Comment (justification for change)	Proposed change	Comment from	Comment from
				CEN Consultant	WG Standards

Country	Clause	se No./ Comment (justific		cation for change) Prop		Proposed change		Comment from CEN Consultar		Comment from WG Standards
Decision of the	he Standa	rds Work	ing Group:	Accepted: Refused:		Commer	nts:		I	
Reference	Reference Title of document						Wher ADR		Appli	cable sub-sections and raphs
PrEN1800 re	V		ortable gas cylinders - ons and type testing	- Acetylene cylinders - Basic requirements,			6.2.2			
Comments fr	om memb	ers of the	e Joint Meeting:							
Country	Clause	e No./ Comment (justified		cation for change)	Proposed change		Comment from CEN Consulta			Comment from WG Standards
Decision of the	he Standa	rds Work	ing Group:	Accepted: Refused:		Commen	nts:			
Reference Title		Title of	itle of document			Where to refer in ADR/RID		Applicable sub-sections and paragraphs		
PrEN12972 rev		Tanks for transport of dangerous goods - Testing, inspection and marking of metallic tanks					6.8.2.6		6.8.2.4 6.8.3.4	

Country	Clause No./	Comment (justifi	cation for change)	Propose	d change	Comment from CEN Consultant	Comment from WG Standards
Decision of the Standards Working Group:			Accepted: Refused:]	Comments:		
