Bundesanstalt für Straßenwesen

Federal Highway Research Institute



HR-2-11

Current Status of the Euro NCAP Whiplash Subgroup

Bernd Lorenz



www.euroncap.com

Euro NCAP - Consortium



European New Car Assessment Programme

- ADAC (Allgemeiner Deutscher Automobil Club)
- BMVBW vertreten durch die BASt
- DfT (Department for Transport, UK)
- Dutch Ministry of Transport (NL)
- European Commission (no member !)
- FIA Foundation (Federation International de L'automobile)
- Generalitat de Catalunya (ES)
- ICRT (International Consumer Research and Testing)
- Ministère de l'Equiment (F)
- SNRA (Swedish National Road Administration)

sécurité

Thatcham

FIA Foundation

Bernd Lorenz Section Passive Safety & Biomechanics April 2005 Slide No. 2

epresenting British motor insurers

Ihatcham

Structure of Euro NCAP





Bernd Lorenz Section Passive Safety & Biomechanics April 2005 Slide No. 3

Whiplash sub group members

- Wilfried Klanner (ADAC) Chairman
- Bob Moran (UK DfT)
- Anders Lie (SRA)
- Bernd Lorenz (BASt)
- Mat Philippens (TNO)
- Matthew Avery (Thatcham)
- Ricardo Satué (IDIADA)
- Francois Minne (UTAC)
- Peter Gloyns (ICRT/VSC)
- Raimondo Sferco & Celine Adalian (ACEA)
- Adrian Lund (IIWPG)





- Protocol based on good understanding of injury mechanisms – Rejected as sufficient information will not be available in the medium term
- Protocol acknowledging lack of information about injury mechanisms, but encouraging seat design towards current best practice – Recommended on basis of extensive work by SRA, ADAC, IIWPG and ACEA



- Early head restraint contact
- Low neck forces
- Energy absorption within system



- Protocol based on encouraging best practice.
 - Pros
 - Builds on extensive field experience of protective performance of different designs
 - Concepts validated and developed using seats with known real world performance and dedicated crash testing with whole vehicles. (Saab, Volvo, Toyota, Ford)
 - Uses unique data gathered from Folksam's crash recorders
 - Builds on extensive test experience using range of pulses and multiple measures of seat performance.



- Protocol based on encouraging best practice.
 - Pros
 - Industry already familiar with many aspects of this type of testing
 - Provides design guidelines appropriate for industrial use
 - Provides clear consumer information to activate market forces, capable of integration into main Euro NCAP vehicle scoring system



- Protocol based on encouraging best practice.
 Pros
 - Capable of implementation in near future within Euro NCAP
 - Formulated by whiplash group drawing on extensive experience within group of consumer seat rating tests



- Protocol based on encouraging best practice.
 - Cons
 - Detailed understanding of injury mechanism not available
 - (No early prospect of this being solved, but group monitoring any progress in this area. All international activities being considered)

REMARK

 Future possible benefits or disbenefits of design changes not fully known – Potential new load paths need careful monitoring i.e. lumbar spine loading



• Either

Implement recommended concept, based on encouraging best practice, drawing on established consumer test programmes

• Or

Do nothing in short term, await further developments in understanding injury mechanisms. No predictable time for this at present



- Dynamic sled testing for whiplash prevention
- Multiple pulses to avoid sub optimisation and address a range of relevant accident severities for whiplash
- Additional control of seat deflection in higher energy rear impacts to prevent ejection and interaction with rear seat occupants
- Multiple measures of seat performance combined to provide a rating system



Strong tendency towards:

- BioRID dummy
- Three potential whiplash pulses
- One seat stability pulse
- Seven measures of seat performance
- Additional criteria for geometry, locking etc.



- BioRID dummy
- Test set up
- Pulses at low, medium and high severities
- Seven equally weighted measures of seat performance, all based on sliding scales



- Check durability and repeatability of dummy at high severity pulse for final adoption of high severity test
- Document reproducibility of protocol
- Confirmation of pulse mix in light of above
- Check sliding scale limits against experience with all seat testing so far
- Further develop protocol documentation



- Explore relationship between seat stability testing and accident experience to ensure test is at appropriate level of severity
- Check use of Hybrid III 95%ile dummy in this context



Next sub group meeting – 29th April 2005

April 2005 Slide No. 17



Thank You for Your Attention !

Bernd Lorenz Section Passive Safety & Biomechanics April 2005 Slide No. 18