UNITED NATIONS ST



Distr. GENERAL

ST/SG/AC.10/C.3/2004/94 3 September 2004

ORIGINAL: ENGLISH

# COMMITTEE OF EXPERTS ON THE TRANSPORT OF DANGEROUS GOODS AND ON THE GLOBALLY HARMONIZED SYSTEM OF CLASSIFICATION AND LABELLING OF CHEMICALS

<u>Sub-Committee of Experts on the Transport of Dangerous Goods</u>

Twenty-sixth session, 29 November-3 December 2004 Item 2 of the provisional agenda

# TEXT ADOPTED BY THE SUB-COMMITTEE AT ITS TWENTY-THIRD, TWENTY-FOURTH AND TWENTY-FIFTH SESSIONS AND RELATED PROPOSALS

#### Infectious substances

# P650

# <u>Transmitted by the expert from the Netherlands</u>

## **Background**

- 1. At the twenty-fifth session of the Sub-Committee, a number of amendments were made to packing instruction P650 (page 8 of the report ST/SG/AC.10/C.3/50/Add.1 and original document ST/SG/AC.10/C.3/2004/73). One of the changes was the requirement that the outer packaging shall be rigid.
- 2. The rationale behind this amendment is the fact that a rigid outer packaging provides a higher level of protection. This formulation is restrictive as the same level of safety can be achieved when requiring any packaging component beside the primary receptacle to be rigid.

## **Proposal**

Rewrite P650 (2) to read:

- "(2) The packaging shall consist of at least three components (packagings):
  - (a) a primary receptacle;
  - (b) a secondary packaging; and
  - (c) an outer packaging

of which at least one component beside the primary receptacle shall be rigid."

# Justification

Packaging experts in the Netherlands agree that it is not necessary to require the *outer* packaging to be rigid, because the goal of protecting the primary receptacle against mechanical impact can also be reached when a packaging component beside the primary receptacle is rigid. In our view, the formulation of the new P650 is unnecessarily restrictive for industry. The proposal as presented above will provide an equal level of safety as the current P650 requirement, but it will also give more development opportunities for the packaging industry, especially as some companies already have developed packagings in conformity with the existing P650.