PART 1

Page 8, para. 1.1.3.1.5.3, 8th line, insert a space between “countries” and “requirements”. In para 1.1.3.2, 4th line, the acronym should read UNCETDG/GHS.

Page 32, Para 1.4.10.5.3.1 (c), delete the word “new” as this is no longer useful.

Page 36, the footnote number should be 2 instead of 4.

Page 37, the footnote number should be 3 instead of 5.

Page 49: in the second diamond-shaped box on the left side, replace “division 5.1” with “the classes of oxidizing substances”; in the last box of the left side of the page, replace “division 5.1” with “the classes”.

PART 2

Page 71, para. 2.6.4.2.5, update the details of “American Society for Testing Materials International, 100 Barr Harbor Drive, PO Box C 700, West Conshohocken, Pennsylvania, USA 19428-2959”.

Page 72, para. 2.6.4.2.5, replace “Deutscher Normenausschuss” with the following:

“Deutsches Institut für Normung, Burggrafenstr 6, D-10787 Berlin”.

Pages 77, 85 and 89, in the headers of chapter 2.8, 2.11 and 2.12 respectively, “substances” should be replaced with “chemicals” as the classification covers both substances and mixtures.

Page 79, in box 10 of decision logic 2.8, suppress “for transport”.

Page 85, para. 2.11.2, in the definition, first line: after “solid” insert “or liquid”.

Page 104, in box 10 of decision logic 2.15, suppress “for transport”.
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PART 3

Page 111  Insert the following missing paragraph:

“3.1.2.6.4 Of particular importance is the use of well articulated values in the high toxicity categories for dusts and mists. Inhaled particles between 1 and 4 microns mean mass aerodynamic diameter (MMAD) will deposit in all regions of the rat respiratory tract. This particle size range corresponds to a maximum dose of about 2 mg/l. In order to achieve applicability of animal experiments to human exposure, dusts and mists would ideally be tested in this range in rats. The cut-off values in the table for dusts and mists allow clear distinctions to be made for materials with a wide range of toxicities measured under varying test conditions. The values for dusts and mists should be reviewed in the future to adapt to any future changes in OECD or other test guidelines with respect to technical limitations in generating, maintaining, and measuring dust and mist concentrations in respirable form.”

Page 164, in decision logic 3.5.2, the arrows should stand as below:

**Modified classification on a case-by-case basis**

Are test data available for the complete mixture?  
Yes  
No

Can bridging principles be applied?  
Yes  
No  
See criteria 3.5.3.2.

Are the test results on the mixture conclusive taking into account dose and other factors such as duration, observations and analysis (e.g. statistical analysis, test sensitivity) of germ cell mutagenicity test systems?  
Yes  
No

Classify in appropriate category  
Danger or Warning or No classification

See above: Classification based on individual ingredients of the mixture.

Page 164, Footnote 2 of decision logics 3.5.2 should read: “…..in accordance with paragraph 3.5.3.2”.

Page 169, para. 3.6.2.7, 4th line, the references to the proceedings are not correct and should be replaced with “WHO/IPCS workshop on the Harmonization of Risk Assessment for Carcinogenicity and Mutagenicity (Germ cells)-A scoping Meeting, 1995, Carshalton, UK”.

Page 173, Chapter 3.6, Decision logic 3.6, in Modified classification on a case-by-case basis, box on the right hand containing a pictogram: under the pictogram, add the signal words “Danger or Warning”.
Page 185, the arrows should stand as below:

**Modified classification on a case-by-case basis**

Are test data available for the complete mixture?  
Yes  
No

Are the test results on the mixture conclusive taking into account dose and other factors such as duration, observations and analysis (e.g. statistical analysis, test sensitivity) of reproduction test systems?  
Yes  
No

Can bridging principles be applied?  
(see criteria in 3.7.3.2.1-3.7.3.2.4)

See above: Classification based on individual ingredients of the mixture.

Page 185, Footnote 6 of decision logics 3.7.2 should read: “…..in accordance with paragraph 3.7.3.2”.

Page 206, Chapter 3.9, content of last box, left side, starting “Following repeated exposure”, first bullet: after “to have the potential” **insert** “to produce significant toxicity in humans”.

Page 211, till page 223, Chapter 3.10, from para 3.10.2 to para 3.10.4, **replace** everywhere in the text and tables, the Roman Numbers designating the aquatic hazard acute and chronic categories (Acute I, II, III; Chronic I, II, III, IV) with Arabic Numbers (Acute 1, 2, 3; Chronic 1, 2, 3, and 4).

**ANNEX 1**

Page 248, in Table “Toxic to reproduction”, 4th column: “effects on or via lactation” should be **moved and aggregated** into the title to read: “Additional category on effects on or via lactation”.

**ANNEX 3**

Page 297, para A3.3.3.3, 16th and 17th statements, after “lukewarm” **insert** “water”.

__________