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## ECONOMIC COMMISSION FOR EUROPE

INLAND TRANSPORT COMMITTEE
World Forum for Harmonization of Vehicle Regulations (WP.29)
Working Party on Lighting and Light-Signalling (GRE)
(Fifty-second session, 30 March - 2 April 2004, agenda item 10.)

## PROPOSAL FOR DRAFT AMENDMENTS TO REGULATION No. 70

(Rear marking plates for heavy and long vehicles)
Transmitted by the expert from Japan

Note: The text reproduced below was prepared by the expert from Japan, in order to amend the width of a rear marking plate.

Note: This document is distributed to the Experts on Lighting and Light-Signalling only.

## A. PROPOSAL

## Annex 5,

## Paragraph 3.2., amend to read:

"... of annex 12 , may be reduced, to a minimum of 130 mm , provided that..."

## B. JUSTIFICATION

According to annex 5, paragraph 3.1. prescribes that "The width of a rear marking plate shall be $140+/-10 \mathrm{~mm}$ for tracks and tractors", but according to paragraph 3.2. "The length of each rear marking plate in a set consisting of two plates for trucks and tractors, as illustrated in figures 1 (b) and 1 (c) of annex 12, may be reduced, to a minimum of 140 mm , provided that the width is increased such that the area of each marking is at least $735 \mathrm{~cm}^{2}$, does not exceed $1725 \mathrm{~cm}^{2}$ and the marking plates are rectangular."

In the above-mentioned paragraph 3.2., the prescribed minimum area ( $735 \mathrm{~cm}^{2}$ ) of each marking has been derived from the smallest example ( $130 \times 1130 \mathrm{~mm} / 2=1469 \mathrm{~cm}^{2} / 2=735 \mathrm{~cm}^{2}$ ) shown in figure 1(a) of annex 12 (see below). Therefore, it is more appropriate to set the minimum length at 130 mm , rather than 140 mm , in harmony with the size of the smallest example. In this case, the length of each rear marking plates may be reduced to 130 mm .


Annex 12 - Figure 1
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