



TIR EXECUTIVE BOARD (TIRExB)
COMMISSION DE CONTROLE TIR (TIRExB)
ИСПОЛНИТЕЛЬНЫЙ СОВЕТ МДП (ИСМДП)

Distr.: General
20 September 2017

ENGLISH ONLY

Administrative Committee for the TIR Convention, 1975

TIR Executive Board (TIRExB)

Seventy-fourth session

Geneva, 9 October 2017

Agenda item V (c)

Statistical information on the use of the International TIR Data Bank (ITDB)

Note by the secretariat

I. Background

1. At its seventy-third session (12 June 2017), the Board commenced considerations on mandatory data submission via the ITDB. During the discussions, the question was raised on the number of Contracting Parties that were currently not using the ITDB. For its deliberations, the Board requested the secretariat to provide statistical information on the number of Contracting Parties that did not use the ITDB, and that still submitted annual lists on paper (TIRExB/REP/2017/73draft, para. 19).

2. Pursuant to this request, the secretariat has prepared Informal document No. 16 (2017), containing statistical information on the use of the ITDB.

II. Statistical information on the use of the ITDB

a. Number of ITDB users (Data as of 19/09/2017)

3. The Board may wish to note that in 22 of the 59 operational Contracting Parties neither the competent authorities nor the national associations are using the ITDB at all, which corresponds to 37%. In 28 of the 59 operational Contracting Parties, the competent authorities do not use the ITDB, which corresponds to 47%.

4. The table below shows the number of users that successfully accessed the new ITDB since its launch on 9 May 2017. The Board may wish to note that competent authorities of 31 Contracting Parties have accessed the ITDB. This means 53% of the Contracting Parties¹ currently use the ITDB. There are six Contracting Parties, which only have ITDB users from the national associations. The Board may also wish to note that 37 Contracting Parties have ITDB users from competent authorities, national associations or both, which results in a total 63% of the Contracting Parties using the ITDB.

¹ The calculation of percentages for this paper is based on the total number of operational Contracting Parties: 59 (58 countries and the European Union (EU)).

5. In addition, the Board may wish to note that the table might not reflect the actual number of users per Contracting Party. In some cases, the competent authorities have a generic “Read Only” account, for example France. However, French customs officers working with the TIR system have access to this generic account. Therefore, the table reflects only two French competent authorities as users, whereas the actual number is higher.

6. In its consideration of the statistical information, the Board may wish to note that the number of users of the ITDB has been steadily increasing. From 1 January to 8 May 2017, 157 users from 25 Contracting Parties have accessed the ITDB online+ (old ITDB). However, in the first week of the launch of the new ITDB (9 to 15 May 2017), the number of connected users increased already to 218 from 22 Contracting Parties. As of 19 September 2017, 421 users from 37 Contracting Parties have accessed the new ITDB since its launch.

	<i>Contracting Party</i>	<i>Competent Authorities</i>	<i>National Association</i>	<i>Total</i>
#1	ALB	0	1	1
#2	AUT	1	1	2
#3	AZE	2	1	3
#4	BGR	8	4	12
#5	BIH	1	1	2
#6	CHE	1	0	1
#7	CYP	1	0	1
#8	CZE	56	1	57
#9	DEU	79	2	81
#10	DNK	2	2	4
#11	EST	3	0	3
#12	EU	1	0	1
#13	FIN	31	0	31
#14	FRA	2	1	3
#15	GBR	1	1	2
#16	GRC	2	1	3
#17	HRV	2	1	3
#18	HUN	3	1	4
#19	IRL	2	0	2
#20	IRN	0	1	1
#21	ITA	45	1	46
#22	KAZ	0	1	1
#23	KGZ	0	1	1
#24	LTU	1	1	2
#25	LUX	2	0	2
#26	LVA	9	1	10
#27	MKD	0	1	1
#28	NLD	1	1	2
#29	NOR	1	0	1
#30	POL	114	2	116
#31	PRT	5	0	5
#32	ROU	1	0	1
#33	SVK	2	1	3
#34	SVN	3	1	4

#35	SWE	4	0	4
#36	TUR	3	1	4
#37	UKR	0	1	1
Total		389	32	421

b. Submission of annual list in 2016

7. The table below reflects the submission of annual lists to TIRExB for the year 2016, including the format of submission. The Board may wish to note that 12 Contracting Parties have sent the annual list to TIRExB in 2016, equalling 21% of the Contracting Parties. Seven annual lists were submitted in paper format (58%) and five in electronic format (42%). One annual list submitted in electronic format required translation. This results in a total of eight of these 12 Contracting Parties (67%) which submitted the annual list in a format that required re-typing by the secretariat of the data into the ITDB. TIRExB may wish to note that retyping makes the data prone to errors.

<i>Contracting Party</i>	<i>Annual updated list as per 31 December 2016</i>	<i>Format of the Annual list</i>
<i>Afghanistan</i>		
<i>Albania</i>		
<i>Armenia</i>		
<i>Austria</i>	✓	<i>Excel</i>
<i>Azerbaijan</i>		
<i>Belarus</i>	✓	<i>Excel</i>
<i>Belgium/Luxembourg</i>		
<i>Bosnia and Herzegovina</i>		
<i>Bulgaria</i>		
<i>Croatia</i>		
<i>Cyprus</i>		
<i>Czech Republic</i>	✓	<i>Paper*</i>
<i>Denmark</i>		
<i>Estonia</i>		
<i>Finland</i>		
<i>France</i>		
<i>Georgia</i>	✓	<i>Paper*</i>
<i>Germany</i>		
<i>Greece</i>		
<i>Hungary</i>		
<i>Iran (Islamic Republic of)</i>		
<i>Ireland</i>		
<i>Israel</i>		
<i>Italy</i>		
<i>Jordan</i>		
<i>Kazakhstan</i>	✓	<i>Paper*</i>
<i>Kuwait</i>		
<i>Kyrgyzstan</i>	✓	<i>Paper*</i>
<i>Latvia</i>		
<i>Lebanon</i>		

<i>Lithuania</i>		
<i>Malta</i>		
<i>Moldova</i>		
<i>Mongolia</i>		
<i>Montenegro</i>		
<i>Morocco</i>	✓	<i>Paper*</i>
<i>Netherlands</i>		
<i>Norway</i>	✓	<i>Word</i>
<i>Poland</i>		
<i>Portugal</i>		
<i>Romania</i>		
<i>Russian Federation</i>	✓	<i>Excel in Russian*</i>
<i>Serbia</i>		
<i>Slovakia</i>		
<i>Slovenia</i>		
<i>Spain</i>		
<i>Sweden</i>		
<i>Switzerland</i>	✓	<i>Excel</i>
<i>Syrian Arab Republic</i>		
<i>Tajikistan</i>		
<i>The former Yugoslav Republic of Macedonia</i>	✓	<i>Paper*</i>
<i>Tunisia</i>		
<i>Turkey</i>		
<i>Turkmenistan</i>		
<i>Ukraine</i>		
<i>United Kingdom</i>		
<i>Uzbekistan</i>	✓	<i>Paper*</i>

** Format used that requires the secretariat to retype the data into the ITDB, since a copy-paste cannot be performed or a translation is required.*