



**Seminar for South-East Europe, Eastern Europe, Caucasus,
Central Asia and Mediterranean Countries**

**Global assessments and peer
reviews – follow-up and next steps**
25-27 June 2013, Alushta, Ukraine

**Follow-up and monitoring of the
implementation of improvement
recommendations**

Timing of AGAs and LPRs

	Country	Time	
Enlargement countries	Croatia	2010	LPRs
	The former Yugoslav Republic of Macedonia	2010	
	Serbia	2011	
	Turkey	2011	
	Montenegro	2012	
	Albania	2010	AGAs
	Montenegro	2010	
	Bosnia and Herzegovina	2011	
	Kosovo ¹	2011	
ENP East countries	Armenia	2009	AGAs
	Azerbaijan	2010	
	Ukraine	2011	
	Georgia	2012	
	Moldova	2012	
	Belarus	2013	
ENP South	Palestine	2012	LPR
Central Asian Countries	Kazakhstan	2008	AGAs
	Kyrgyzstan	2011	
	Tajikistan	2012	

^[1] This designation is without prejudice to positions on status, and is in line with UNSCR 1244 and the ICJ Opinion on the Kosovo declaration of independence.

Progress monitoring in enlargement countries

- Annual monitoring exercise
 - **Self-assessment questionnaire**
 - **Countries report on status of outstanding actions**
 - **For statistical domains in AGAs: SMIS+**
 - **Eurostat collects and analyses info**
 - **Report the summary to the Policy Group on Statistical Cooperation in each October**
 - **Discuss the process at the PGSC**

Progress monitoring in enlargement countries

- Latest monitoring round in summer 2012
- Main figure: 55 out of 168 actions have been implemented = 33%
- Current monitoring exercise is on-going

Completion of improvement actions in enlargement countries, 2012

Principle		Number of Peer Review Recommendations			
		Total	Complete	Completed Percentage	Remaining
1	Professional independence	11	5	45%	6
2	Mandate for data collection	16	6	38%	10
3	Adequacy of resources	11	2	18%	9
4	Quality commitment	24	6	25%	18
5	Statistical confidentiality	12	7	58%	5
6	Impartiality and objectivity	7	7	100%	0
7	Sound methodology	6	4	67%	2
8	Appropriate statistical procedures	14	3	21%	11
9	Non-excessive burden on resp.	5	0	0%	5
10	Cost effectiveness	8	3	38%	5
11	Relevance	6	1	17%	5
12	Accuracy and reliability	4	1	25%	3
13	Timeliness and punctuality	3	0	0%	3
14	Coherence and comparability	5	0	0%	5
15	Accessibility and clarity	36	10	28%	26
Total		168	55	33%	113

Progress monitoring in ENP countries

- ENP countries
 - **Annual monitoring set up in 2013**
 - **First set of questionnaires sent out in June**
 - **Countries report on status of outstanding actions**
 - **Eurostat in cooperation with UNECE collects and analyses info**
 - **Report the summary to the High-Level Seminar in Vilnius in September 2013**
 - **Discuss the process at the seminar**

Progress monitoring questionnaire

- Same format for all countries
- Pre-filled with previous years' data where available
- Recommendations grouped under CoP principles and/or statistical domains
- For each recommendation: improvement actions proposed by NSI
- Status of completion: seven categories + comments, explanations, adjusted deadlines if necessary

Use of the results

- To assess the level of compliance of the country (together with SMIS+)
- Identify areas of assistance
- Input for strategies for statistical cooperation
 - **For enlargement countries**
 - **For ENP countries**
- NSIs use it to
 - **Record their progress**
 - **Base future development strategies on**

Thank you for your attention!

Questions?