



# Cities, Climate Change and Multilevel Governance

Economic Commission for Europe Committee on Housing and Land Management Seventy-first session Geneva, 20–21 September 2010

Alexis Robert OECD Urban Development Programme



## WHY FOCUS ON CITIES?

Half of the worldwide population lives in cities, projected to reach 60% by 2030

OECD

- Cities are responsible for 2/3 of total
  energy consumption and growing
  Cities' future development depends on
  climate resilience, especially to
  flooding and urban heat island effects
  Co-benefits of urban climate actions
  are large
  Cities are laboratories of innovative
- climate policies which can be scaled up to regional or national programmes

Urban density emerges as a crucial element to reduce carbon emissions





## WHY FOCUS ON MULTILEVEL GOVERNANCE?

- Complex web of interactions between different level of governments, non-state and non-governmental actors, all of whom are contributing to action on climate change today.
  Effectiveness of city authorities is "nested" within broader
- institutional structures at higher levels of government
- Increase effectiveness of national climate initiatives

- Overcome the obstacles to effective design and implementation
- Narrow the "policy gap" among levels of government



#### **TOOLS FOR MULTILEVEL GOVERNANCE**

#### Vertical linkages

OECD

- Local authority hierarchically nested in legal and institutional frameworks at higher scales
- National local policy linkages

#### Horizontal linkages

- Increased "voice" given to business, research and environmental nongovernmental organizations.
- Increasing issue-based governance configurations overlapping jurisdictions (e.g. on water, flood management or transport planning)
- Learning, information transmission and cooperation occurs horizontally with linkages between cities, regions and national governments
- Local & regional governance



# LOCAL AND REGIONAL GOVERNANCE

- Increasing role of local and regional governments in climate change policies
- In their roles as <u>regulators</u>, <u>service providers</u>, <u>investors and</u> <u>purchasers</u>, and <u>enablers</u>, cities have competencies in key areas for climate change.

Climate
 priorities need
 to be integrated
 in each stage of 4
 the urban
 policy-making
 process



# LOCAL AND REGIONAL GOVERNANCE

- Effective climate policy packages should seek policy complementarities among and within key urban sector
  - Energy provision and management
  - Transportation and land use
  - Buildings
  - Waste

- Water
- Natural resource management
- When climate policies spill over city borders, inter-municipal action is needed
- Inter-municipal collaborative frameworks underlie successful inter-municipal climate policy coordination



# OECTLOCAL-NATIONAL CLIMATE POLICY FRAMEWORKS

#### Top-down: driven by national or regional authorities

 May may mandate or strongly recommend a specific set of policy actions at local scale (e.g. Norway or China)

#### Bottom-up

- Local policy innovations provide models for regional or national action
- Often emerge where policy authority is highly decentralised (e.g. in the US) or strong national policy does not exist

#### Hybrid

- Both top-down incentives and bottom-up leadership and initiative (e.g. Japan, Sweden)
- May also encourage public-private partnerships to promote action on climate change (e.g. Brazil)



# OFCD LOCAL-NATIONAL CLIMATE POLICY LINKAGES: OVERCOMING OBSTACLES

- Addressing national barriers to local action is essential
- National governments can enhance cities' capacity to act on climate change by
  - Leveraging existing local policy experiments
  - Accelerating policy responses and learning
  - Fostering resource mobilization
  - Providing strong national targets for adaptation and greenhouse gas emission reduction to prevent regional competition and promote a "race to the top"
  - Identifying national policies that conflict with or prevent local climate action
- National regional development frameworks could be strengthened to better integrate climate change considerations.



# OECD

# **BUILDING INSTITUTIONS TO ENHANCE LOCAL KNOWLEDGE**

- Developing harmonised city-scale GHG inventories
- Providing science-policy capacity at the local/regional scales
  - Regional science-policy dialogue to assess impacts, understand & manage risk
- Encouraging the development of urban climate policy networks



# CONCLUSIONS

- > National policies are a key enabler of local action on climate change
- There is high potential for experimentation & learning at local scales
- Closer collaboration between different levels of government is needed for cost-effective implementation of climate change policies
- National regional/urban policy framework could better integrate climate priorities



- Cities and metropolitan regions will increasingly need to pursue growth policies that also meet energy and climate change priorities
- For city initiatives to be sustainable and contribute to growth, they need clear national and international policy and financing frameworks.



## THANK YOU!

For more information:

OECD (forthcoming 2010) Cities and Climate Change, OECD, Paris.

Corfee-Morlot, J., L. Kamal-Chaoui, M. G. Donovan, I. Cochran, A. Robert and P.J. Teasdale (2009), *Cities, Climate Change and Multilevel Governance*, OECD Environment Working Paper, OECD, Paris.

