

PROPERTY VALUATION AND TAXATION FOR FISCAL SUSTAINABILITY AND IMPROVED LOCAL GOVERNANCE: Case Studies from the ECA Region

Mika-Petteri Törhönen

Senior Land Policy Specialist

Aanchal Anand

Junior Professional Associate

Richard Grover

Oxford Brookes University

Paul Munro-Faure

Deputy Director



WORLD BANK GROUP
Social, Urban, Rural & Resilience



**Food and Agriculture Organization
of the United Nations**

Responsible Governance of Tenure; Property Valuation and Taxation

- Voluntary Guidelines on Governance of Tenure (CFS 2011):
 - States should ensure that **appropriate systems** are used for the **fair and timely valuation** of tenure rights for specific purposes, such as ... **taxation**.
 - Taxes should be based on **appropriate values**.
 - ... valuations and taxable amounts should be made public.
 - Tax(es) ... should be used ... to provide for **effective financing** for **decentralized levels of government** and local provision of services and infrastructure.

Why Are We Interested?

- **Growing interest** in ECA countries to increase local revenues, enhance state land management, and define state asset values accurately.
- Property taxes can be efficient, equitable, and **least distortive towards long-term GDP per capita**.
- Need to provide **best practices** on consolidation of cross sectorial knowledge on land records, valuation and taxation applications, taxation policies and municipal financing.

Initiative on Property Valuation and Taxation 2014 - 2015

- The World Bank and FAO initiative to increase the **knowledge of land and property valuation** and taxation, and **revenue collection** for improved local governance
 - Financed by the WB **ECA Trust Fund for Public Finance Management**.
 - Case studies: Albania, Croatia, Kazakhstan, the Netherlands Russia, **Lithuania, Moldova, Serbia, and Turkey** (The paper on the countries in Bold) including **World Bank Operations**.
 - Countries at **different stages of** taxation and valuation system **development**.
 - **Lessons that can be learned from divergent experience**.
 - **Conference and Best Practice** publications.



*Round Table Meeting:
Property Valuation and Taxation for
Improving Local Governance*

Registration Sheet at Front

When: 9 - 11 AM, March 27, 2015

Where: Room: J B1-075, The World Bank

Address: 701 18th Street NW (corner of 18th Street NW
and Pennsylvania Avenue)



Property Valuation and Taxation Conference

June 3 – 5, 2015, Vilnius, Lithuania


www.registrucentras.lt/PropertyValuationConference

Registration open!



Recurrent Taxes on Property in OECD

(Countries as a % of GDP, 2012)



Source: OECD (2015) Dataset Revenue Statistics – Comparative tables, 4100 Recurrent taxes on immovable property, <http://stats.oecd.org/viewhtml.aspx?datasetcode=REV&lang=en>

Yet most countries make little use of recurrent property taxes

- Especially true of transition and emerging economies in ECA region
- In spite of suitability as local taxes, they generate only small part of local governments' revenues
 - Moldova 8%; Lithuania 10%; Turkey: 15% districts, 5% cities, 0% metropolitan areas
- Does this matter?
- If so, why is there so little use made of them and what can be done about it?

The case for recurrent value-based property taxes

- **Well suited to be local taxes** as fall on immobile objects
 - **no leakage of tax revenues** across jurisdictional boundaries
- Relatively **neutral** in impact
- **Difficult to avoid** or evade as assets cannot be hidden
- Identify those with **ability to pay** as the taxpayers own or occupy valuable assets

Balanced tax system requires value-based recurrent property taxes

- Heavy reliance by local governments on inter-governmental fiscal transfers
- Failings in property taxation made good by **transfers to local government** of tax revenue from incomes, profits and sales – **negative impact on incentives** for work, invest, innovate, and on **national financial stability** and sustainability
- Reliance by central government on taxes that are becoming more difficult to collect e.g. profits taxes, taxes on high net worth individuals
- **Need to overcome inequity** between wealth-owning and income-earning groups

What are the challenges?

- Land and property **valuation systems** are often of **poor quality** and unable to assess market values
- Administrative systems can be weak
 - Not all properties are recorded in tax rolls; levels of collection can be poor
- **Illiquidity** of some taxpayers who acquired property through privatization and restitution
- Shortcomings impact negatively on governance.
 - Wealthy may escape proper taxation, undermining local finances and public services.

A brief overview

The adopters: Lithuania/ Moldova : centralised systems

Lithuania – the “Poster Boy”

- Property taxes 1990 & 1995
- 2005 use of market values
- Centralised system for assessment and revaluations

Moldova – the stalled reform

- Initially taxes based on area
- 2007 value based taxes
- Centralised system but incomplete

The beginners: Serbia/ Turkey: locally based systems

Serbia – the “new kid on the block”

- Unreliable price data so creation of Sales Price Register
- Weak valuation infrastructure

Turkey – the one to watch

- Pilots show price data unreliable
- Good valuation infrastructure

Lithuania

- Taxes on land and buildings first introduced in 1990 and 1995 respectively – **not based on market values**
- Price data collected from 1998: low transfer fees, use of mortgages and capital gains tax encourage accurate reporting- use of other sources
- Centre of Registers unveiled valuation system in 2005 – **centralized methodology** but assessments by valuers working in branch offices with knowledge of **local property markets**
- Use of **multiple regression mass valuation models** — sales comparison, income capitalization, depreciated replacement cost
- **Regular periodic revaluations** for taxation
- **Low cost of mass valuations** – 1 euro per property (€100 normally)
- Problems remain with qualitative data and possibly location data
- Land and buildings taxes not integrated

Moldova

- **Initial taxation method** – land: surface area adjusted for fertility; residential properties: inventory value; non-residential properties: book value
- **Evolution:** new **value-based tax code** in 2000; mass valuation in 2004; implementation in 2007
- Models by the Cadastre State Enterprise Head Office by licensed valuers - **annual revaluation**
- Use of **internationally-recognized standards** for market values
- Registered contract prices unreliable so **variety of sources** used
- Mass valuation **excludes** agricultural land, residential property in rural areas, property in public ownership, and infrastructure
- **Lack of resources** to extend valuation system (on 12.5% properties covered) and revaluations not being carried out

Serbia

- Serbia in **fiscal crisis**: deficit 5% of GDP; government debt 63%
- Revenue sharing between central and local governments so that **local governments lack incentive** to develop own revenues
- High levels of properties not registered and low collection rates – **capacity problems** but **some municipalities very efficient**
- Use of **disruptive “shadow” taxes** e.g. urban land use charge, development fee
- Problems with valuing properties especially non-residential – **no standards** – municipalities responsible for assessment
- Republic Geodetic Authority became responsible for mass valuation in 2011 – **problems linking cadastre and property transfer tax data**
- **Creation of Sales Price Register** using data from contracts registered with courts/notaries – data publicly available through internet

Turkey

- Capital Markets Board 1981-2001; minimum qualifications for valuers – 2003; licenses for valuers – licensed valuers to be members of TDUB – use of international valuation standards
- Integrated property tax 1972 – pre 2002 taxpayers declare values – then information approach – valuations by local commissions every 4 years but not by professional valuers – cost approach to valuations
- Problems of rapid urbanization and a very **low level of sub-national expenditure** – need to fund infrastructure out of rising land values – municipalities rely on revenue from enterprises and sales and rents from real estate
- TKGM (land registry and cadastre) has undertaken **pilot studies** into feasibility of value-based recurrent taxes
- Problem of **unreliable price data** so need for database

Conclusions

- Need to make progress on **value-based recurrent property taxes** because of impact on national economy of poor yields from them
- Improved valuation needed for improving **revenue collection**
- **Mass valuation** for taxation most **effective when**
 - an effective valuation **infrastructure** exists
 - **Good price data** exists, and
 - **Reliable land records** exist
- Better valuation methods **improve fairness** and enable tax rates to be reduced whilst increasing yields

Thank you for listening

Contact us for interest in the
roundtable on Friday 27 March and
the Vilnius conference 3 -5 June 2015:

Paul.Munrofaure@fao.org

mtorhonen@worldbank.org

rgrover@brookes.ac.uk

aanand1@worldbank.org