

Amendment (ECE/CP.TEIA/2012/8) (ECE/CP.TEIA/B/2012/INF.2)

ITEM 14. POSSIBLE AMENDMENT OF THE CONVENTION



Working Group on Development

- Created by COP in 2004, dormant since 2006
- Open-ended: open to the representatives of all Parties
- Can be reconvened when another review of Annex I required

Proposed amendments must be circulated to all Parties at least 90 days in advance of COP

Amendments adopted by consensus (or 9/10 majority for annex I)

Annex I amendments enter into force unless 16 notifications indicating will not apply to a Party

Other amendments, once ratified by 16 Parties, enter into force for those Parties



Annex I – hazardous substances

United Nations Globally Harmonized System (GHS) of Classification and Labelling of Chemicals revised in 2010

Bureau and Working Group on Implementation propose alignment of annex I with GHS

Working Group on Development could draft from 2013 to mid-2014

Would be circulated to Parties 90 days in advance of COP-8, 2014

Could be adopted at COP-8 and could enter into force end 2015

Would maintain consistency with Seveso Directive, which has been amended and will take effect mid-2015



Other possible amendments

Process?

- Task Working Group on Development to evaluate possible amendments from 2013 to mid-2014
- Task Working Group on Development to evaluate possible amendments and the draft as appropriate from 2013 to mid-2014
- Minimize frequency of amendments an amendment should be important

Scope? Prioritization?



Scope (a-c)

(a) Revised and additional definitions, e.g. update "public" and add "competent authority" – largely technical

(b) Revised scope – requires policy decisions on, e.g. pipelines and tailings management facilities, but drafting straightforward

(c) Strengthened rights for public, e.g. information, public participation in decision-making, access to justice, in line with Aarhus Convention – requires policy decisions and more complex drafting



Scope (d-g)

(d) Revised scope of mutual assistance – requires policy decision but drafting straightforward

(e) Revised frequency of meetings – technical revision

(f) Clarified or strengthened reporting obligations, e.g. clarify procedure, make reports public, require accident reporting – requires policy decisions but drafting straightforward, except perhaps if major accident reporting added

(g) Accession by other Member States of the United Nations – requires policy decision, drafting to be carefully reviewed



Scope (h-k)

(h) Application of amendments to new Parties – technical revision

(i) Provisions on land-use planning – requires policy decisions and more complex drafting

(j) Provisions on the review of compliance – requires policy decision but drafting straightforward

(k) Governance structures under the Convention – review possibly leading to decision by COP, but unlikely to involve amendment of Convention