# Towards a regular assessment process based on SEIS

UNECE/WGEMA meeting 20-21 October 2011



## Latest SEIS developments in EU/EEA

- SEIS draft implementation plan (EC interservice consultation) 2011
- Short-term: SEIS implementation based on existing EU financial instruments (e.g.Life+, ENTRP, ENPI)
- EEA Strategy 2009-2013 (http://www.eea.europa.eu/publications/eea-strategy-2009852013-multi-annual-work-programme)
- COMMISSION Communication towards a SEIS
   (2008) <a href="http://eur-lex.europa.eu/LexUriServ/LexUriServ.do?uri=CELEX:52008DC0046:EN:NOT">http://eur-lex.europa.eu/LexUriServ/LexUriServ.do?uri=CELEX:52008DC0046:EN:NOT</a>



## ENPI/SEIS project update

- ENPI/SEIS country visits by October 2011

   Armenia, Azerbaijan, Georgia, Moldova, Belarus
- Country Reports (Armenia available <a href="http://enpi-seis.ew.eea.europa.eu/project-activities/country-report/copy\_of\_country-report/">http://enpi-seis.ew.eea.europa.eu/project-activities/country-report/copy\_of\_country-report</a>
- Review of existing EECCA core sets of indicators (via Joint Task Force)
- Preparation of SEIS 'Cookbook'
- Regular ENPI-SEIS newsletters <a href="http://enpi-seis.ew.eea.europa.eu/communication/leaflet">http://enpi-seis.ew.eea.europa.eu/communication/leaflet</a>
- Update of ENPI-SEIS website (<a href="http://enpi-seis.ew.eea.europa.eu/">http://enpi-seis.ew.eea.europa.eu/</a>)
- Annual Steering Committee ENPI/SEIS project: 24-25 Nov. Copenhagen

## Framework for SEIS implementation

Stakeholders	Why?	What ? (content)	How? (infrastructure)	Who? (cooperation)
EU				
EEA				
Member States				
ENP				
CA				
Pan-European				
Global				

## Europe's Environment- AoA

#### A SEIS example

- Why? Improve future assessments
- What? Assess what is available
- How? Develop a set of common tools (AoA methodology); agree on selection criteria etc.
- When? For Astana Conference as basis for ministerial decision on future reporting
- Who? EEA together with countries and international partners (UNECE, RECs)



## Future steps post-Astana

for SEIS development in support to a regular assessment process

- No SEIS grand master plan
- Step wise approach with a long-term vision and considering regional specific needs
- A shared task with roles for each partner and level
- A regular evaluation of progress as basis for future development



### Lessons learnt

- Communication needed at all stages
- Sustained national commitment needed
- No unique model no standard solution common legislation difficult to manage complexity
- SEIS is already developing

## SEIS for regular assessment & reporting what can we do?

#### At national level:

- Apply SEIS principles in all relevant projects/initiatives at national and regional level
- •Revisit the SOER production process (content, network involved, assessment frameworks and indicators) in light of AoA findings;
- Regular sharing of assessments by updating the AoA portal (potentially extended to other thematic areas);
- Put in place a compulsory effectiveness evaluation step before starting new processes



## SEIS for regular assessment & reporting what can we do?

### At regional level (UNECE)

- Continue work on the production of CSI indicators and related data flows
- •Start using SEIS for sharing data and information in relation to international env. obligations (each convention, EPRs)
- •Gradually also involve monitoring networks in relevant activities and capacity building (alongside reporting experts, statisticians etc.)



## SEIS for regular assessment & reporting

what can we do?

#### At EEA

- Maintain AoA portal as future SERIS upgrade;
- Provide capacity building (integrated assessment, forward looking)
- Connect EEA work on indicators with related activities in ENPI /CA regions;
- Provide available EEA tools such as AoA, REPORTNET,
   Eye on Earth for national/local use;
- Facilitate contacts and sharing of good practices (SEIS cook book);
- Maintain and develop EoE as platform for improving access and sharing of information;
- •Strengthen and develop country networks and cooperation (SEIS country visits, workshops), open Environment Agency

## SEIS implementation in practice

Stakeholders	Why?	What ? (content)	How? (infrastructure)	Who? (cooperation)
EU	policies	Env compliance	INSPIRE GMES	Member States
EEA	Improve Assessments & reporting	Reporting activ.	Indicators SENSE	EIONET
Member States	Streamline & implement	Reporting obligations	Data flows CDR	National and local authorities
ENP	Harmonise and improve	Water, air, waste	AoA, SOER, REPORTNET	Env. & statistics
CA	Build trust cooperation	Water, air	AoA, SOER	MoE, water bodies
Pan-European	monitor env capacity building	Regular report	CSI, SOER, AoA	UNECE members EIONET
Global	interoperability	Data policy standards monitoring	UNEP/Live	ISO, GEOSS, OGC,

## Points for consideration

- Is this approach manageable for all actors?
- Do we have the right priority setting?
- Can this proposal be put forward to the CEP for endorsement as a joint way forward?



## Thank you!

http://www.eea.europa.eu/

