UNFC Workshop 26 April, 2016 Palais des Nations, Geneva

Application of UNFC-2009 to Nuclear fuel Resources



Uranium production can involve different methodologies



Non-uranium producing mines

There are numerous mines, which have never produced U or Th, whose economic minerals have elevated levels of U or Th associated with them.

Generally the associated concentrations (grades) are 1-2 orders of magnitude lower than those of the primary uranium producers.

However, there are a number of mines and deposits where grades can match or exceed those of commercially producing uranium mines.

These mines do not produce uranium usually because the potential returns are too small to justify the outlay on additional specialist metallurgical plant.



Mining operations producing uranium as a by-product

Copper mining (South Africa (formerly) and Australia)

Phosphate rock mining (and production of phosphoric acid) (formerly mostly USA)

Gold mining (South Africa)

Also some other mines perhaps in future, e.g. Morocco, Jordan (phosphate), Finland (Ni-Zn), Zambia (Cu), Australia, Greenland (Rare Earth Elements)



Uranium extraction plant at a phosphate fertiliser plant, Florida USA (not in current use)



P.Waggitt

UNFC-2009 is a 3-tier system



Bridging Documents explain the relationship between UNFC-2009 and another classification system

	UNFC Classificat	tion	NEA/IAEA Classification				
UNFC Classes and Sub-classes		UNFC Categories					
Class	Sub-Class	E	F	G	Status	IAEA-NEA Categories	
Commercial Projects	On Production	1	1.1	1,2	Existing	Reasonably Assured	
	Approved for Development	1	1.2	1,2	Committed	Resources (RAR)	
	Justified for Development	1	1.3	1,2	Planned		
Potentially commercial projects	Development Pending	2	2.1	1,2,3	Prospective	Identified Resources	
	Development On Hold	2	2.2	1,2,3		RAR IR*	
Non- commercial projects	Development Unclarified	3.2	2.2	1,2,3	Unclarified	Identified Resources	
	Development not Viable	3.3	2.3	1,2,3	Not viable	RAR IR*	
Exploration projects		3.2	3.1	4		Prognosticated Resources	
		3.2	3.2, 3.3	4		Speculative Resources	



*Inferred Resources

Bridging document will aid transfer of resources reported in Red Book system to UNFC-2009 or vice-versa





United Nations

Economic and Social Council

ECE/ENERGY/2015/7

Distr.: General 6 November 2015

Original: English only

UNECE

Application of the United Nations Framework Classification

for Fossil Energy and Mineral Reserves and Resources 2009 to Nuclear Fuel Resources Selected Case Studies



Facilitating easy application of UNFC-2009 to uranium and thorium resource projects

Economic Commission for Europe

Committee on Sustainable Energy

Twenty-fourth session Geneva, 18–20 November 2015 Item 5 of the Provisional Agenda Expert Group on Resource Classification

> Guidelines for Application of the United Nations Framework Classification for Fossil Energy and Mineral Reserves and Resources 2009 for Uranium and Thorium Resources*

Prepared by the Expert Group on Resource Classification**

Summary

The purpose of the document is to provide non-mandatory guidance for the application of the United Nations Framework Classification for Mineral Reserves and Resources 2009 (UNFC-2009) to uranium and thorium resources. These Guidelines are intended to assist all those responsible for finding, classifying, quantifying, financing, permitting, mining, and processing these minerals such that they are fit to enter the nuclear fuel cycle. The Guidelines must be used in conjunction with the most recent release of UNFC-2009 (ECE Energy Series No. 42 and ECE/ENERGY/94), which incorporates the specifications or mandatory rules for its use and application. As a living document, the Guidelines will be subject to ongoing review and update. Users of these Guidelines are invited to share their experience in using them with the ECE Expert Group on Resource Classification.

The Guidelines were developed by the Task Force on Application of UNFC-2009 to Nuclear Fuel Resources of the Expert Group. The Work Plan for 2013–2015 of the Expert Group requested that appropriate guidelines be prepared to ensure consistent application of UNFC-2009 to nuclear fuel resources thereby allowing use of the higher granularity of UNFC-2009 and its harmonization advantages.

- Please note: the mappings included in these Guidelines are preliminary and hence only an indication
 of how they might work. They are in no way endorsed or approved by the Expert Group on Resource
 Classification. As at 5 November 2015, the only UNFC-2009 aligned systems are the CRIRSCO
 Template, PRMS and the NEA/IAEA 'Red Book'.
- ** These Guidelines were developed by the Task Force on Application of UNFC-2009 to Nuclear Fuel Resources of the Expert Group on Resource Classification and were issued for public comment from 25 June to 20 August 2015. Development of the Guidelines has followed the Document Approval Procedure agreed by the Expert Group at its fifth session, April 2014. The Guidelines are presented to the Committee on Sustainable Energy at its twenty-fourth session for endorsement.

UNFC-2009 Classification					CRII Tem	RSCO	NEA/IAEA Classification			
UNFC Classes and Sub- classes		UNFC Categories		CRIRSCO Classes and Sub-classes						
Class	Sub-Class	Е	F	G	Class	Sub-Class	IAE	A-NEA Categ	ories	Status
Commercial Projects	On Production	1	1.1	1	Mineral Reserves	Proved	Reasonably Assured Resources (RAR)		Eviating	
				2		Probable				Existing
	Approved for Developmen t	1 1		1		Proved				
			1.2	2		Probable			Committed	
	Justified for	1	1.3	1		Proved			Planned	
	Developmen t			2		Probable				
Potentially Commercial Projects	Developmen t Pending	2	2.1	1	Mineral Resources	Measured			DAD	
				2		Indicated	Identified Resources	RAR		
				3		Inferred		IR*	Prospectiv e	
	Developmen t On Hold	2	2.2	1		Measured		DAD		
				2		Indicated		RAR		
				3		Inferred			IR*	
	Developmen t Unclarified	3.2 2	2.2	1,2,3		Developmen				
						t Unclarified				Unclarified
Non- commercial Projects						(not defined				
					Inventory	itory	Identified Resources			
					(not		DAD			
		3.3 2.3		123	defined in	Not Viable		IR*		
	Developmen		2.3		i emplate)	(not defined			Not Viable	
	t Not Viable	210		.,_,_		in Template)				
Exploration Projects		3.2 3.1					C	Prognosticated C Resources		
				4			Indisco Resou			
		3.2	3.2, 3.3	4	Exploratio n Results		overed urces	Speculative Resources		

Workflows in national reporting



Uranium guidelines are hinged on critical control point and milestone-driven approach

Geologic knowledge Project feasibility Socio-economic viability Policy and regulatory frameworks

Small, critical number of control points in a project life-cycle

(combination approach of E, F, and G axis considerations)

Socio-economic viability issues (E-axis)

Known environmental or social impediments or barriers to projects (E-axis)

Project viability issues (F-axis)

Geological knowledge challenges (G-axis)

In situleach production (solution mining of underground uranium deposits)

U mining lifecycle and resources



Accurate and transparent management of essential materials throughout the lifecycle



Over 45 million tonnes of U; and what else?

No	Туре	Number of reported world deposits	No of U deposits in	Total Resources in UDEPO (t U)	Average Grade (ppm U)	Remarks
		·	UDEPO			
1	Intrusive (Plutonic sub-type)	646 – Porphyry copper deposits ^b 125 – Peralkaline complexe ^C 527 - Carbonatites ^y	32	651 773	40 – 6 400	REE, Nb, Ta, Zr, U, Cu, Au, Ag, Mo
2	Polymetallic Iron Oxide Breccia Complex	33 ^z (Over 100 ³)	16	2 438 773	60 - 850	Cu, Au, Ag, U
3	Lignite-coal	2700 ⁴ (23 057 billion tonnes Reserves + Resources ^a)	35	7 378 159	20 – 1 700	
4	Phosphate	1635⁵ (300 billion tonnes ^x)	57	13 941 025	10 – 3 033	P, S, F, REE, U
5	Black shale		50	20 962 042	17 - 1200	Ni, Co, Cu, U
6	Heavy mineral sands		77 ⁷			REE, Ti, Th, Zr, Sn
7	Lignite-coal ash	21 billion tonnes ⁸				Ge, U
8	Mine tailings		8 ⁹	250 000	30 - 80	U, Ag
9	Mine wastes					U
10	Mine water					U
11	Phosphogypsum	2.6 – 3.7 billion tonnes				REE, F, S, U
12	Metal slags					Sn, Nb-Ta slags with U
13	Sea water			4 500 000 000	3.3 ppb	Multiple elements, U
Total	(excluding seawater)			45 621 772		

Assessing comprehensive extraction







UNFC can have many dimensions beyond what is obvious

International best practice for sustainable management of resources Standard for reporting base-line data on natural resources Tool for managing mineral resources required for food production Interconnected tool to manage impact on water systems Unified management system for all energy resources Value-addition possibilities can be quantified Issues related to environmental impact and mitigation can be assessed Sustainability reporting can be linked to UNFC-2009 Promotes multi-stake holder global partnership Provides a frame-work for high-quality data for monitoring progress

Thank you

Mr Harikrishnan TULSIDAS | Nuclear Technology Specialist | Section of Nuclear Fuel Cycle and Materials | Division of Nuclear Fuel Cycle and Waste Technology | Department Nuclear Energy | International Atomic Energy Agency | Vienna International Centre, PO Box 100, 1400 Vienna, Austria | Email: <u>H.Tulsidas@iaea.org</u> | T: (+43-1) 2600-22758 | M: (+43) 669-165-22758 | F: (+43-1) 2600-7 | Follow us on <u>www.iaea.org</u>



And also @IAEANE & NE website

